



HAL
open science

Observation of $J/\psi\phi$ structures consistent with exotic states from amplitude analysis of $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi\phi K^+$ decays

R. Aaij, L. Beaucourt, M. Chefdeville, D. Decamp, N. Déleage, P. Ghez, J.-P. Lees, J.-F. Marchand, M.-N. Minard, B. Pietrzyk, et al.

► To cite this version:

R. Aaij, L. Beaucourt, M. Chefdeville, D. Decamp, N. Déleage, et al.. Observation of $J/\psi\phi$ structures consistent with exotic states from amplitude analysis of $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi\phi K^+$ decays. *Physical Review Letters*, 2017, 118, pp.022003. 10.1103/PhysRevLett.118.022003 . in2p3-01338794

HAL Id: in2p3-01338794

<https://in2p3.hal.science/in2p3-01338794v1>

Submitted on 20 Sep 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Observation of $J/\psi\phi$ structures consistent with exotic states from amplitude analysis of $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi\phi K^+$ decays

The LHCb collaboration[†]

Abstract

The first full amplitude analysis of $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi\phi K^+$ with $J/\psi \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$, $\phi \rightarrow K^+K^-$ decays is performed with a data sample of 3 fb^{-1} of pp collision data collected at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ and 8 TeV with the LHCb detector. The data cannot be described by a model that contains only excited kaon states decaying into ϕK^+ , and four $J/\psi\phi$ structures are observed, each with significance over 5 standard deviations. The quantum numbers of these structures are determined with significance of at least 4 standard deviations. The lightest has mass consistent with, but width much larger than, previous measurements of the claimed $X(4140)$ state.

Published in Physical Review Letters **118**, 022003 (2017).

© CERN on behalf of the LHCb collaboration, license CC-BY-4.0.

[†]Authors are listed at the end of this paper.

There has been a great deal of experimental and theoretical interest in $J/\psi\phi$ mass structures in $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi\phi K^+$ decays¹ since the CDF collaboration presented 3.8σ evidence for a near-threshold $X(4140)$ mass peak, with width $\Gamma = 11.7\text{ MeV}$ [1].² Much larger widths are expected for charmonium states at this mass because of open flavor decay channels [2], which should also make the kinematically suppressed $X \rightarrow J/\psi\phi$ decays undetectable. Therefore, it has been suggested that the $X(4140)$ peak could be a molecular state [3–9], a tetraquark state [10–14], a hybrid state [15, 16] or a rescattering effect [17, 18]. Subsequent measurements resulted in the confusing experimental situation summarized in Table 1. Searches for the narrow $X(4140)$ in $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi\phi K^+$ decays were negative in the Belle [19, 20] (unpublished), LHCb [21] (0.37 fb^{-1}) and BaBar [22] experiments. The $X(4140)$ structure was, however, observed by the CMS [23] and D0 [24, 25] collaborations.

Table 1: Previous results related to the $X(4140) \rightarrow J/\psi\phi$ mass peak. The number of reconstructed $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi\phi K^+$ decays (N_B) is given if applicable. Significances (σ) correspond to numbers of standard deviations. Upper limits on the $X(4140)$ fraction of the total $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi\phi K^+$ rate are at 90% confidence level. The statistical and systematic errors are added in quadrature and then used in the weights to calculate the averages, excluding unpublished results (shown in italics).

Exp.	N_B	Mass [MeV]	Width [MeV]	σ	Frac. [%]
CDF [1]	58	$4143.0 \pm 2.9 \pm 1.2$	$11.7^{+8.3}_{-5.0} \pm 3.7$	3.8	
<i>Belle [19]</i>	<i>325</i>	<i>4143.0 fixed</i>	<i>11.7 fixed</i>	<i>1.9</i>	
<i>CDF [26]</i>	<i>115</i>	<i>4143.4^{+2.9}_{-3.0} \pm 0.6</i>	<i>15.3^{+10.4}_{-6.1} \pm 2.5</i>	<i>5.0</i>	<i>15 \pm 4 \pm 2</i>
LHCb [21]	346	4143.4 fixed	15.3 fixed	1.4	< 7
CMS [23]	2480	$4148.0 \pm 2.4 \pm 6.3$	$28^{+15}_{-11} \pm 19$	5.0	10 ± 3
D0 [25]	215	$4159.0 \pm 4.3 \pm 6.6$	$19.9 \pm 12.6^{+1.0}_{-8.0}$	3.1	$21 \pm 8 \pm 4$
BaBar [22]	189	4143.4 fixed	15.3 fixed	1.6	< 13
D0 [24]	–	$4152.5 \pm 1.7^{+6.2}_{-5.4}$	$16.3 \pm 5.6 \pm 11.4$	4.7–5.7	–
Average		4147.1 ± 2.4	15.7 ± 6.3		

In an unpublished update to their analysis [26], the CDF collaboration presented 3.1σ evidence for a second relatively narrow $J/\psi\phi$ mass peak near 4274 MeV. A second peak was also observed by the CMS collaboration at a mass which is higher by 3.2 standard deviations, but its statistical significance was not determined [23]. The Belle collaboration obtained 3.2σ evidence for a narrow ($\Gamma = 13^{+18}_{-9} \pm 4\text{ MeV}$) $J/\psi\phi$ peak at $4350.6^{+4.6}_{-5.1} \pm 0.7\text{ MeV}$ in two-photon collisions, which implies $J^{PC} = 0^{++}$ or 2^{++} , and found no signal for $X(4140)$ [27].

The $X(4140)$ and $X(4274)$ states are the only known candidates for four-quark systems that contain neither of the light u and d quarks. Their confirmation, and determination of their quantum numbers, would allow new insights into the binding mechanisms present in

¹Inclusion of charge-conjugate processes is implied.

²Units with $c = 1$ are used.

multi-quark systems, and help improve understanding of QCD in the non-perturbative regime.

The data sample used in this work corresponds to an integrated luminosity of 3 fb^{-1} collected with the LHCb detector in pp collisions at center-of-mass energies 7 and 8 TeV. The LHCb detector is a single-arm forward spectrometer covering the pseudorapidity range $2 < \eta < 5$, described in detail in Refs. [28, 29]. Thanks to the larger signal yield, corresponding to 4289 ± 151 reconstructed $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K^+$ decays, the roughly uniform efficiency and the relatively low background across the entire $J/\psi \phi$ mass range, this data sample offers the best sensitivity to date, not only to probe for the previously claimed $J/\psi \phi$ structures, but also to inspect the high mass region for the first time. All previous analyses were based on naive $J/\psi \phi$ mass ($m_{J/\psi \phi}$) fits, with Breit–Wigner (BW) signal peaks on top of incoherent background described by ad-hoc functional shapes (*e.g.* the three-body phase space distribution in $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K^+$ decays). While the $m_{\phi K}$ distribution has been observed to be smooth, several resonant contributions from kaon excitations (denoted generically as K^*) are expected. It is important to prove that any $m_{J/\psi \phi}$ peaks are not merely reflections of K^* states. If genuine $J/\psi \phi$ states are present, it is crucial to determine their quantum numbers to aid their theoretical interpretation. Both of these tasks call for a proper amplitude analysis of $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K^+$ decays, in which the observed $m_{\phi K}$ and $m_{J/\psi \phi}$ masses are analyzed simultaneously with the distributions of decay angles, without which the resolution of different resonant contributions is difficult, if not impossible.

In this Letter, results with a focus on $J/\psi \phi$ mass structures are presented from the first amplitude analysis of $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K^+$ decays. A detailed description of the analysis with more extensive discussion of the results on kaon spectroscopy can be found in Ref. [30]. The data selection is similar to that described in Ref. [21], with modifications [30] that increase the B^+ signal yield per unit luminosity by about 50% at the expense of larger background. A K^+K^- pair with mass within $\pm 15 \text{ MeV}$ of the known ϕ mass [31] is accepted as a ϕ candidate. To avoid reconstruction ambiguities, we require that there is exactly one ϕ candidate per $J/\psi K^+K^-K^+$ combination, which reduces the B^+ yield by 3.2%. A fit to the mass distribution of $J/\psi \phi K^+$ candidates yields 4289 ± 151 $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K^+$ events, with a background fraction (β) of 23% in the region used in the amplitude analysis (twice the B^+ mass resolution on each side of its peak). The non- ϕ $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi K^+K^-K^+$ background is small (2.1%) and neglected in the amplitude model, but considered as a source of systematic uncertainty.

We first try to describe the data with kaon excitations alone. We construct an amplitude model (\mathcal{M}) using the helicity formalism [32–34] in which the six independent variables fully describing the $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi K^{*+}$, $J/\psi \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$, $K^{*+} \rightarrow \phi K^+$, $\phi \rightarrow K^+K^-$ decay chain are $m_{\phi K}$, θ_{K^*} , $\theta_{J/\psi}$, θ_ϕ , $\Delta\phi_{K^*, J/\psi}$ and $\Delta\phi_{K^*, \phi}$, where θ denotes helicity angles, and $\Delta\phi$ angles between decay planes. The set of angles is denoted by Ω . The matrix element for a single K^{*+} resonance (j) with mass M_0^j and width Γ_0^j is assumed to factorize, $\mathcal{M}_{K^*}^j \Delta\lambda_\mu = R(m_{\phi K} | M_0^j, \Gamma_0^j) H_{\Delta\lambda_\mu}(\Omega | \{A^j\})$, where $R(m_{\phi K} | M_0^j, \Gamma_0^j)$ is a complex BW function and $H_{\Delta\lambda_\mu}(\Omega | \{A^j\})$ describes the angular correlations, with $\{A^j\}$ being a set of complex helicity couplings which are determined from the data (1–4 independent couplings depending on J^P), where $\Delta\lambda_\mu = \lambda_{\mu^+} - \lambda_{\mu^-}$, and λ denotes the helicity. The total matrix

element sums coherently over all possible K^* resonances: $|\mathcal{M}|^2 = \sum_{\Delta\lambda_\mu=\pm 1} \left| \sum_j \mathcal{M}_{\Delta\lambda_\mu}^{K^* j} \right|^2$. Detailed definitions of $R(m_{\phi K} | M_0^j, \Gamma_0^j)$ and of $H_{\Delta\lambda_\mu}(\Omega | \{A^j\})$ are given in Ref. [30]. The free parameters are determined from the data by minimizing the unbinned six-dimensional (6D) negative log-likelihood ($-\ln \mathcal{L}$), where the probability density function (PDF) is proportional to $(1 - \beta) |\mathcal{M}|^2$, multiplied by the detection efficiency, plus a background term. The signal PDF is normalized by summing over $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K^+$ events generated [35, 36] uniformly in decay phase space, followed by detector simulation [37] and data selection. This procedure accounts for the 6D efficiency in the reconstruction of the signal decays [30]. We use B^+ mass sidebands to obtain a 6D parameterization of the background PDF [30].




Figure 1: Distribution of $m_{J/\psi\phi}$ for the data and the fit results with a model containing only $K^{*+} \rightarrow \phi K^+$ contributions.

Past experiments on K^* states decaying to ϕK [38–40] had limited precision, gave somewhat inconsistent results, and provided evidence for only a few of the states expected from the quark model in the 1513–2182 MeV range probed in our data. We have used the predictions of the relativistic potential model by Godfrey–Isgur [41] (horizontal black lines in Fig. 2) as a guide to the quantum numbers of the K^{*+} states to be included in the amplitude model. The masses and widths of all states are left free; thus our fits do not depend on details of the predictions, nor on previous measurements. We also include a constant nonresonant amplitude with $J^P = 1^+$, since such ϕK^+ contributions can be produced, and can decay, in S-wave. Allowing the magnitude of the nonresonant

amplitude to vary with $m_{\phi K}$ does not improve fit qualities. While it is possible to describe the $m_{\phi K}$ and $m_{J/\psi K}$ distributions well with K^* contributions alone, the fit projections onto $m_{J/\psi \phi}$ do not provide an acceptable description of the data. For illustration we show in Fig. 1 the projection of a fit with the following composition: a nonresonant term plus candidates for two $2P_1$, two $1D_2$, and one of each of 1^3F_3 , 1^3D_1 , 3^3S_1 , 3^1S_0 , 2^3P_2 , 1^3F_2 , 1^3D_3 and 1^3F_4 states, labeled here with their intrinsic quantum numbers $n^{2S+1}L_J$ (n is the radial quantum number, S the total spin of the valence quarks, L the orbital angular momentum between quarks, and J the total angular momentum of the bound state). The fit contains 104 free parameters. The χ^2 value (144.9/68 bins) between the fit projection and the observed $m_{J/\psi \phi}$ distribution corresponds to a p-value below 10^{-7} . Adding even more resonances does not change the conclusion that non- K^* contributions are needed.

The matrix element for $B^+ \rightarrow XK^+$, $X \rightarrow J/\psi \phi$ decays can be parameterized using $m_{J/\psi \phi}$ and the θ_X , $\theta_{J/\psi}^X$, θ_ϕ^X , $\Delta\phi_{X,J/\psi}$, $\Delta\phi_{X,\phi}$ angles. The angles $\theta_{J/\psi}^X$ and θ_ϕ^X are not the same as in the K^* decay chain since J/ψ and ϕ are produced in decays of different particles. For the same reason, the muon helicity states are different between the two decay chains, and an azimuthal rotation by an angle α^X is needed to align them [30,42]. The parameters needed to characterize the X decay chain, including α^X , do not constitute new degrees of freedom since they can all be derived from $m_{\phi K}$ and Ω . We also consider possible contributions from $B^+ \rightarrow Z^+ \phi$, $Z^+ \rightarrow J/\psi K^+$ decays, which can be parameterized in a similar way [30]. The total matrix element is obtained by summing all possible K^{*+} (j), X (k) and Z^+ (n) contributions: $|\mathcal{M}|^2 = \sum_{\Delta\lambda_\mu=\pm 1} \left| \sum_j \mathcal{M}_{\Delta\lambda_\mu}^{K^*j} + e^{i\Delta\lambda_\mu\alpha^X} \sum_k \mathcal{M}_{\Delta\lambda_\mu}^{Xk} + e^{i\Delta\lambda_\mu\alpha^Z} \sum_n \mathcal{M}_{\Delta\lambda_\mu}^{Zn} \right|^2$.

We have explored adding X and Z^+ contributions of various quantum numbers to the fit models. Only X contributions lead to significant improvements in the description of the data. The default resonance model is summarized in Table 2. It contains seven K^{*+} states (Fig. 2), four X states, and ϕK^+ and $J/\psi \phi$ nonresonant components. There are 98 free parameters in this fit. Additional K^{*+} , X or Z^+ states are not significant. Projections of the fit onto the mass variables are displayed in Fig. 3. The χ^2 value (71.5/68 bins) between the fit projection and the observed $m_{J/\psi \phi}$ distribution corresponds to a p-value of 22%, where the effective number of degrees of freedom has been obtained with simulations of pseudoexperiments generated from the default amplitude model. Projections onto angular variables, and onto masses in different regions of the Dalitz plot, can be found in Ref. [30].

The systematic uncertainties [30] are obtained from the sum in quadrature of the changes observed in the fit results when: the K^{*+} and $X(4140)$ models are varied (the dominant errors); the BW amplitude parameterization is modified; only the left or right B^+ mass peak sidebands are used for the background parameterization; the ϕ mass selection is changed; the signal and background shapes are varied in the fit to $m_{J/\psi \phi K}$ which determines β ; the weights assigned to simulated events, in order to improve agreement with the data on B^+ production characteristics and detector efficiency, are removed.

The significance of each (non)resonant contribution is calculated from the change in log-likelihood between fits with and without the contribution included. The distribution of $\Delta(-2 \ln \mathcal{L})$ between the two hypothesis should follow a χ^2 distribution with number of




Figure 2: Masses of kaon excitations obtained in the default amplitude fit to the LHCb data, shown as red points with statistical (thicker bars) and total (thinner bars) errors, compared with the predictions by Godfrey–Isgur [41] (horizontal black lines) for the most likely spectroscopic interpretations labeled with $n^{2S+1}L_J$ (see the text). Experimentally established states are also shown with narrower solid blue boxes extending to $\pm 1\sigma$ in mass and labeled with their PDG names [31]. Unconfirmed states are shown with dashed green boxes. The long horizontal red lines indicate the ϕK mass range probed in $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K^+$ decays. Decays of the 2^3P_0 state ($J^P = 0^+$) to ϕK^+ are forbidden.

degrees of freedom equal to the number of free parameters in its parameterization (doubled when M_0 and Γ_0 are free parameters). The validity of this assumption has been verified using simulated pseudoexperiments. The significances of the X contributions are given

after accounting for systematic uncertainties.

Table 2: Results for significances, masses, widths and fit fractions (FF) of the components included in the default amplitude model. The first (second) errors are statistical (systematic). Possible interpretations in terms of kaon excitation levels are given for the resonant ϕK^+ fit components. Comparisons with the previously experimentally observed kaon excitations [31] and $X \rightarrow J/\psi \phi$ structures are also given.

Contri- bution	Sign. or Ref.	Fit results		
		M_0 [MeV]	Γ_0 [MeV]	FF %
All $K(1^+)$	8.0σ			$42 \pm 8 \begin{smallmatrix} +5 \\ -9 \end{smallmatrix}$
NR $_{\phi K}$				$16 \pm 13 \begin{smallmatrix} +35 \\ -6 \end{smallmatrix}$
$K(1^+) 2^1P_1$	7.6σ	$1793 \pm 59 \begin{smallmatrix} +153 \\ -101 \end{smallmatrix}$	$365 \pm 157 \begin{smallmatrix} +138 \\ -215 \end{smallmatrix}$	$12 \pm 10 \begin{smallmatrix} +17 \\ -6 \end{smallmatrix}$
$K_1(1650)$	[31]	1650 ± 50	150 ± 50	
$K'(1^+) 2^3P_1$	1.9σ	$1968 \pm 65 \begin{smallmatrix} +70 \\ -172 \end{smallmatrix}$	$396 \pm 170 \begin{smallmatrix} +174 \\ -178 \end{smallmatrix}$	$23 \pm 20 \begin{smallmatrix} +31 \\ -29 \end{smallmatrix}$
All $K(2^-)$	5.6σ			$11 \pm 3 \begin{smallmatrix} +2 \\ -5 \end{smallmatrix}$
$K(2^-) 1^1D_2$	5.0σ	$1777 \pm 35 \begin{smallmatrix} +122 \\ -77 \end{smallmatrix}$	$217 \pm 116 \begin{smallmatrix} +221 \\ -154 \end{smallmatrix}$	
$K_2(1770)$	[31]	1773 ± 8	188 ± 14	
$K'(2^-) 1^3D_2$	3.0σ	$1853 \pm 27 \begin{smallmatrix} +18 \\ -35 \end{smallmatrix}$	$167 \pm 58 \begin{smallmatrix} +82 \\ -72 \end{smallmatrix}$	
$K_2(1820)$	[31]	1816 ± 13	276 ± 35	
$K^*(1^-) 1^3D_1$	8.5σ	$1722 \pm 20 \begin{smallmatrix} +33 \\ -109 \end{smallmatrix}$	$354 \pm 75 \begin{smallmatrix} +140 \\ -181 \end{smallmatrix}$	$6.7 \pm 1.9 \begin{smallmatrix} +3.2 \\ -3.9 \end{smallmatrix}$
$K^*(1680)$	[31]	1717 ± 27	322 ± 110	
$K^*(2^+) 2^3P_2$	5.4σ	$2073 \pm 94 \begin{smallmatrix} +245 \\ -240 \end{smallmatrix}$	$678 \pm 311 \begin{smallmatrix} +1153 \\ -559 \end{smallmatrix}$	$2.9 \pm 0.8 \begin{smallmatrix} +1.7 \\ -0.7 \end{smallmatrix}$
$K_2^*(1980)$	[31]	1973 ± 26	373 ± 69	
$K(0^-) 3^1S_0$	3.5σ	$1874 \pm 43 \begin{smallmatrix} +59 \\ -115 \end{smallmatrix}$	$168 \pm 90 \begin{smallmatrix} +280 \\ -104 \end{smallmatrix}$	$2.6 \pm 1.1 \begin{smallmatrix} +2.3 \\ -1.8 \end{smallmatrix}$
$K(1830)$	[31]	~ 1830	~ 250	
All $X(1^+)$				$16 \pm 3 \begin{smallmatrix} +6 \\ -2 \end{smallmatrix}$
$X(4140)$	8.4σ	$4146.5 \pm 4.5 \begin{smallmatrix} +4.6 \\ -2.8 \end{smallmatrix}$	$83 \pm 21 \begin{smallmatrix} +21 \\ -14 \end{smallmatrix}$	$13.0 \pm 3.2 \begin{smallmatrix} +4.7 \\ -2.0 \end{smallmatrix}$
ave.	Table 1	4147.1 ± 2.4	15.7 ± 6.3	
$X(4274)$	6.0σ	$4273.3 \pm 8.3 \begin{smallmatrix} +17.2 \\ -3.6 \end{smallmatrix}$	$56 \pm 11 \begin{smallmatrix} +8 \\ -11 \end{smallmatrix}$	$7.1 \pm 2.5 \begin{smallmatrix} +3.5 \\ -2.4 \end{smallmatrix}$
CDF	[26]	$4274.4 \begin{smallmatrix} +8.4 \\ -6.7 \end{smallmatrix} \pm 1.9$	$32 \begin{smallmatrix} +22 \\ -15 \end{smallmatrix} \pm 8$	
CMS	[23]	$4313.8 \pm 5.3 \pm 7.3$	$38 \begin{smallmatrix} +30 \\ -15 \end{smallmatrix} \pm 16$	
All $X(0^+)$				$28 \pm 5 \pm 7$
NR $_{J/\psi \phi}$	6.4σ			$46 \pm 11 \begin{smallmatrix} +11 \\ -21 \end{smallmatrix}$
$X(4500)$	6.1σ	$4506 \pm 11 \begin{smallmatrix} +12 \\ -15 \end{smallmatrix}$	$92 \pm 21 \begin{smallmatrix} +21 \\ -20 \end{smallmatrix}$	$6.6 \pm 2.4 \begin{smallmatrix} +3.5 \\ -2.3 \end{smallmatrix}$
$X(4700)$	5.6σ	$4704 \pm 10 \begin{smallmatrix} +14 \\ -24 \end{smallmatrix}$	$120 \pm 31 \begin{smallmatrix} +42 \\ -33 \end{smallmatrix}$	$12 \pm 5 \begin{smallmatrix} +9 \\ -5 \end{smallmatrix}$

The K^{*+} composition of our amplitude model is in good agreement with the expectations for the $\bar{s}u$ states [41], and also in agreement with previous experimental results on K^* states in this mass range [31] as illustrated in Fig. 2 and in Table 2. Effects of adding extra

insignificant K^{*+} resonances of various J^P , as well as of removing the least significant K^{*+} contributions, are included among the systematic variations of the fit amplitude. More detailed discussion of our results for kaon excitations can be found in Ref. [30].

A near-threshold $J/\psi\phi$ structure in our data is the most significant (8.4σ) exotic contribution to our model. We determine its quantum numbers to be $J^{PC} = 1^{++}$ at 5.7σ significance from the change in $-2\ln\mathcal{L}$ relative to other J^P assignments [43] including systematic variations. When fitted as a resonance, its mass ($4146.5 \pm 4.5^{+4.6}_{-2.8}$ MeV) is in excellent agreement with previous measurements for the $X(4140)$ state, although the width ($83 \pm 21^{+21}_{-14}$ MeV) is substantially larger. The upper limit previously set for production of a narrow ($\Gamma = 15.3$ MeV) $X(4140)$ state based on a small subset of our present data [21] does not apply to such a broad resonance, thus the present results are consistent with our previous analysis. The statistical power of the present data sample is not sufficient to study its phase motion [44]. A model-dependent study discussed in Ref. [30] suggests that the $X(4140)$ structure may be affected by the nearby $D_s^\pm D_s^{*\mp}$ coupled-channel threshold. However, larger data samples will be required to resolve this issue.

We establish the existence of the $X(4274)$ structure with statistical significance of 6.0σ , at a mass of $4273.3 \pm 8.3^{+17.2}_{-3.6}$ MeV and a width of $56.2 \pm 10.9^{+8.4}_{-11.1}$ MeV. Its quantum numbers are determined to be $J^{PC} = 1^{++}$ at 5.8σ significance. Due to interference effects, the data peak above the pole mass, underlining the importance of proper amplitude analysis.

The high $J/\psi\phi$ mass region also shows structures that cannot be described in a model containing only K^{*+} states. These features are best described in our model by two $J^{PC} = 0^{++}$ resonances, $X(4500)$ (6.1σ) and $X(4700)$ (5.6σ), with parameters given in Table 2. The resonances interfere with a nonresonant $J^{PC} = 0^{++}$ $J/\psi\phi$ contribution that is also significant (6.4σ). The significances of the quantum number determinations for the high mass states are 4.0σ and 4.5σ , respectively.

In summary, we have performed the first amplitude analysis of $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi\phi K^+$ decays. We have obtained a good description of the data in the 6D phase space composed of invariant masses and decay angles. The K^{*+} amplitude model extracted from our data is consistent with expectations from the quark model and from the previous experimental results on such resonances. We determine the J^{PC} quantum numbers of the $X(4140)$ structure to be 1^{++} . This has a large impact on its possible interpretations, in particular ruling out the 0^{++} or 2^{++} $D_s^{*+} D_s^{*-}$ molecular models [3–8]. The $X(4140)$ width is substantially larger than previously determined. The below- $J/\psi\phi$ -threshold $D_s^\pm D_s^{*\mp}$ cusp [9, 18] may have an impact on the $X(4140)$ structure, but more data will be required to address this issue, as discussed in more detail in the companion article [30]. The existence of the $X(4274)$ structure is established and its quantum numbers are determined to be 1^{++} . Molecular bound-states or cusps cannot account for these J^{PC} values. A hybrid charmonium state would have 1^{-+} [15, 16]. Some tetraquark models expected 0^{-+} , 1^{-+} [11] or 0^{++} , 2^{++} [12] state(s) in this mass range. A tetraquark model implemented by Stancu [10] not only correctly assigned 1^{++} to $X(4140)$, but also predicted a second 1^{++} state at mass not much higher than the $X(4274)$ mass. Calculations by Anisovich *et al.* [13] based on the diquark tetraquark model predicted only one 1^{++} state at a somewhat higher mass.

Lebed–Polosa [14] predicted the $X(4140)$ peak to be a 1^{++} tetraquark, although they expected the $X(4274)$ peak to be a 0^{-+} state in the same model. A lattice QCD calculation with diquark operators found no evidence for a 1^{++} tetraquark below 4.2 GeV [45].

The high $J/\psi\phi$ mass region is investigated for the first time with good sensitivity and shows very significant structures, which can be described as two 0^{++} resonances: $X(4500)$ and $X(4700)$. The work of Wang *et al.* [46] predicted a virtual $0^{++} D_s^{*+} D_s^{*-}$ state at 4.48 ± 0.17 GeV. None of the observed $J/\psi\phi$ states is consistent with the state seen in two-photon collisions by the Belle collaboration [27].

We express our gratitude to our colleagues in the CERN accelerator departments for the excellent performance of the LHC. We thank the technical and administrative staff at the LHCb institutes. We acknowledge support from CERN and from the national agencies: CAPES, CNPq, FAPERJ and FINEP (Brazil); NSFC (China); CNRS/IN2P3 (France); BMBF, DFG and MPG (Germany); INFN (Italy); FOM and NWO (The Netherlands); MNiSW and NCN (Poland); MEN/IFA (Romania); MinES and FASO (Russia); MinECo (Spain); SNSF and SER (Switzerland); NASU (Ukraine); STFC (United Kingdom); NSF (USA). We acknowledge the computing resources that are provided by CERN, IN2P3 (France), KIT and DESY (Germany), INFN (Italy), SURF (The Netherlands), PIC (Spain), GridPP (United Kingdom), RRCKI and Yandex LLC (Russia), CSCS (Switzerland), IFIN-HH (Romania), CBPF (Brazil), PL-GRID (Poland) and OSC (USA). We are indebted to the communities behind the multiple open source software packages on which we depend. Individual groups or members have received support from AvH Foundation (Germany), EPLANET, Marie Skłodowska-Curie Actions and ERC (European Union), Conseil Général de Haute-Savoie, Labex ENIGMASS and OCEVU, Région Auvergne (France), RFBR and Yandex LLC (Russia), GVA, XuntaGal and GENCAT (Spain), Herchel Smith Fund, The Royal Society, Royal Commission for the Exhibition of 1851 and the Leverhulme Trust (United Kingdom).




Figure 3: Distributions of (top left) ϕK^+ , (top right) $J/\psi K^+$ and (bottom) $J/\psi \phi$ invariant masses for the $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K^+$ candidates (black data points) compared with the results of the default amplitude fit containing eight $K^{*+} \rightarrow \phi K^+$ and five $X \rightarrow J/\psi \phi$ contributions. The total fit is given by the red points with error bars. Individual fit components are also shown.

References

- [1] CDF collaboration, T. Aaltonen *et al.*, *Evidence for a narrow near-threshold structure in the $J/\psi\phi$ mass spectrum in $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi\phi K^+$ decays*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **102** (2009) 242002, arXiv:0903.2229.
- [2] N. Brambilla *et al.*, *Heavy quarkonium: progress, puzzles, and opportunities*, Eur. Phys. J. **C71** (2011) 1534, arXiv:1010.5827.
- [3] X. Liu and S.-L. Zhu, *$Y(4143)$ is probably a molecular partner of $Y(3930)$* , Phys. Rev. **D80** (2009) 017502, arXiv:0903.2529.
- [4] T. Branz, T. Gutsche, and V. E. Lyubovitskij, *Hadronic molecule structure of the $Y(3940)$ and $Y(4140)$* , Phys. Rev. **D80** (2009) 054019, arXiv:0903.5424.
- [5] R. M. Albuquerque, M. E. Bracco, and M. Nielsen, *A QCD sum rule calculation for the $Y(4140)$ narrow structure*, Phys. Lett. **B678** (2009) 186, arXiv:0903.5540.
- [6] G.-J. Ding, *Possible molecular states of $D_s^*\bar{D}_s^*$ system and $Y(4140)$* , Eur. Phys. J. **C64** (2009) 297, arXiv:0904.1782.
- [7] J.-R. Zhang and M.-Q. Huang, *$(Q\bar{s})^{(*)}(\bar{Q}s)^{(*)}$ molecular states from QCD sum rules: a view on $Y(4140)$* , J. Phys. **G37** (2010) 025005, arXiv:0905.4178.
- [8] X. Chen, X. Lu, R. Shi, and X. Guo, *Mass of $Y(4140)$ in Bethe–Salpeter equation for quarks*, arXiv:1512.06483.
- [9] M. Karliner and J. L. Rosner, *Exotic resonances due to η exchange*, Nucl. Phys. **A954** (2016) 365, arXiv:1601.00565.
- [10] F. Stancu, *Can $Y(4140)$ be a $c\bar{c}s\bar{s}$ tetraquark?*, J. Phys. **G37** (2010) 075017, arXiv:0906.2485.
- [11] N. V. Drenska, R. Faccini, and A. D. Polosa, *Exotic hadrons with hidden charm and strangeness*, Phys. Rev. **D79** (2009) 077502, arXiv:0902.2803.
- [12] Z.-G. Wang and Y.-F. Tian, *Tetraquark state candidates: $Y(4140)$, $Y(4274)$ and $X(4350)$* , Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A30** (2015) 1550004, arXiv:1502.04619.
- [13] V. V. Anisovich, M. A. Matveev, A. V. Sarantsev, and A. N. Semenova, *Exotic mesons with hidden charm as diquark-antidiquark states*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A30** (2015) 1550186, arXiv:1507.07232.
- [14] R. F. Lebed and A. D. Polosa, *$\chi_{c0}(3915)$ as the lightest $c\bar{c}s\bar{s}$ state*, Phys. Rev. **D93** (2016) 094024, arXiv:1602.08421.
- [15] N. Mahajan, *$Y(4140)$: possible options*, Phys. Lett. **B679** (2009) 228, arXiv:0903.3107.

- [16] Z.-G. Wang, *Analysis of the $Y(4140)$ with QCD sum rules*, Eur. Phys. J. **C63** (2009) 115, [arXiv:0903.5200](#).
- [17] X. Liu, *The hidden charm decay of $Y(4140)$ by the rescattering mechanism*, Phys. Lett. **B680** (2009) 137, [arXiv:0904.0136](#).
- [18] E. S. Swanson, *Z_b and Z_c exotic states as coupled channel cusps*, Phys. Rev. **D91** (2015) 034009, [arXiv:1409.3291](#).
- [19] J. Brodzicka, *Heavy flavour spectroscopy*, Conf. Proc. **C0908171** (2009) 299, Proceedings, 24th International Symposium on Lepton-Photon Interactions at High Energy (LP09), 2009.
- [20] C.-P. Shen, *XYZ particles at Belle*, Chin. Phys. **C34** (2010) 615, [arXiv:0912.2386](#), Proceedings, 6th International Workshop on e^+e^- Collisions from Phi to Psi (PHIPSI09), 2009.
- [21] LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij *et al.*, *Search for the $X(4140)$ state in $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K^+$ decays*, Phys. Rev. **D85** (2012) 091103(R), [arXiv:1202.5087](#).
- [22] BaBar collaboration, J. P. Lees *et al.*, *Study of $B^{\pm,0} \rightarrow J/\psi K^+ K^- K^{\pm,0}$ and search for $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi \phi$ at BABAR*, Phys. Rev. **D91** (2015) 012003, [arXiv:1407.7244](#).
- [23] CMS collaboration, S. Chatrchyan *et al.*, *Observation of a peaking structure in the $J/\psi \phi$ mass spectrum from $B^{\pm} \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K^{\pm}$ decays*, Phys. Lett. **B734** (2014) 261, [arXiv:1309.6920](#).
- [24] D0 collaboration, V. M. Abazov *et al.*, *Inclusive production of the $X(4140)$ state in $p\bar{p}$ collisions at D0*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **115** (2015) 232001, [arXiv:1508.07846](#).
- [25] D0 collaboration, V. M. Abazov *et al.*, *Search for the $X(4140)$ state in $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K^+$ decays with the D0 detector*, Phys. Rev. **D89** (2014) 012004, [arXiv:1309.6580](#).
- [26] CDF collaboration, T. Aaltonen *et al.*, *Observation of the $Y(4140)$ structure in the $J/\psi \phi$ mass spectrum in $B^{\pm} \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K$ decays*, [arXiv:1101.6058](#).
- [27] Belle collaboration, C. P. Shen *et al.*, *Evidence for a new resonance and search for the $Y(4140)$ in the $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \phi J/\psi$ process*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **104** (2010) 112004, [arXiv:0912.2383](#).
- [28] LHCb collaboration, A. A. Alves Jr. *et al.*, *The LHCb detector at the LHC*, JINST **3** (2008) S08005.
- [29] LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij *et al.*, *LHCb detector performance*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A30** (2015) 1530022, [arXiv:1412.6352](#).
- [30] LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij *et al.*, *Amplitude analysis of $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K^+$ decays*, Phys. Rev. **D95** (2017) 012002, [arXiv:1606.07898](#).

- [31] Particle Data Group, K. A. Olive *et al.*, *Review of particle physics*, Chin. Phys. **C38** (2014) 090001.
- [32] M. Jacob and G. C. Wick, *On the general theory of collisions for particles with spin*, Annals Phys. **7** (1959) 404.
- [33] J. D. Richman, *An experimenter's guide to the helicity formalism*, CALT-68-1148 (1984).
- [34] S. U. Chung, *General formulation of covariant helicity-coupling amplitudes*, Phys. Rev. **D57** (1998) 431.
- [35] T. Sjöstrand, S. Mrenna, and P. Skands, *PYTHIA 6.4 physics and manual*, JHEP **05** (2006) 026, [arXiv:hep-ph/0603175](#).
- [36] I. Belyaev *et al.*, *Handling of the generation of primary events in Gauss, the LHCb simulation framework*, J. Phys. Conf. Ser. **331** (2011) 032047.
- [37] M. Clemencic *et al.*, *The LHCb simulation application, Gauss: Design, evolution and experience*, J. Phys. Conf. Ser. **331** (2011) 032023.
- [38] Bari-Birmingham-CERN-Milan-Paris-Pavia collaboration, T. Armstrong *et al.*, *A partial wave analysis of the $K^- \phi$ system produced in the reaction $K^- p \rightarrow K^+ K^- K^- p$ at 18.5-GeV/c*, Nucl. Phys. **B221** (1983) 1.
- [39] D. Frame *et al.*, *A spin parity analysis of the ϕK^+ system produced in the reaction $K^+ p \rightarrow \phi K^+ p$, $\phi \rightarrow K^+ K^-$ at 13-GeV/c*, Nucl. Phys. **B276** (1986) 667.
- [40] Y.-J. Kwon, *Strange meson spectroscopy in $K\omega$ and $K\phi$ at 11-GeV/c and Cherenkov ring imaging at SLD*, PhD thesis, SLAC, 1993, SLAC-409.
- [41] S. Godfrey and N. Isgur, *Mesons in a relativized quark model with chromodynamics*, Phys. Rev. **D32** (1985) 189.
- [42] Belle collaboration, K. Chilikin *et al.*, *Experimental constraints on the spin and parity of the $Z(4430)^+$* , Phys. Rev. **D88** (2013) 074026, [arXiv:1306.4894](#).
- [43] F. James, *Statistical methods in experimental physics*, World Scientific Publishing, (2006); see section 10.5.7 on testing separate hypotheses.
- [44] LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij *et al.*, *Observation of the resonant character of the $Z(4430)^-$ state*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **112** (2014) 222002, [arXiv:1404.1903](#).
- [45] M. Padmanath, C. B. Lang, and S. Prelovsek, *$X(3872)$ and $Y(4140)$ using diquark-antidiquark operators with lattice QCD*, Phys. Rev. **D92** (2015) 034501, [arXiv:1503.03257](#).
- [46] Z.-G. Wang, Z.-C. Liu, and X.-H. Zhang, *Analysis of the $Y(4140)$ and related molecular states with QCD sum rules*, Eur. Phys. J. **C64** (2009) 373, [arXiv:0907.1467](#).

LHCb collaboration

R. Aaij³⁹, B. Adeva³⁸, M. Adinolfi⁴⁷, Z. Ajaltouni⁵, S. Akar⁶, J. Albrecht¹⁰, F. Alessio³⁹, M. Alexander⁵², S. Ali⁴², G. Alkhazov³¹, P. Alvarez Cartelle⁵⁴, A.A. Alves Jr.⁵⁸, S. Amato², S. Amerio²³, Y. Amhis⁷, L. An⁴⁰, L. Anderlini¹⁸, G. Andreassi⁴⁰, M. Andreotti^{17,g}, J.E. Andrews⁵⁹, R.B. Appleby⁵⁵, O. Aquines Gutierrez¹¹, F. Archilli¹, P. d'Argent¹², J. Arnau Romeu⁶, A. Artamonov³⁶, M. Artuso⁶⁰, E. Aslanides⁶, G. Auriemma²⁶, M. Baalouch⁵, I. Babuschkin⁵⁵, S. Bachmann¹², J.J. Back⁴⁹, A. Badalov³⁷, C. Baesso⁶¹, W. Baldini¹⁷, R.J. Barlow⁵⁵, C. Barschel³⁹, S. Barsuk⁷, W. Barter³⁹, V. Batozskaya²⁹, B. Batsukh⁶⁰, V. Battista⁴⁰, A. Bay⁴⁰, L. Beaucourt⁴, J. Beddow⁵², F. Bedeschi²⁴, I. Bediaga¹, L.J. Bel⁴², V. Bellee⁴⁰, N. Belloli^{21,i}, K. Belous³⁶, I. Belyaev³², E. Ben-Haim⁸, G. Bencivenni¹⁹, S. Benson³⁹, J. Benton⁴⁷, A. Berezhnoy³³, R. Bernet⁴¹, A. Bertolin²³, F. Betti¹⁵, M.-O. Bettler³⁹, M. van Beuzekom⁴², I. Bezshyiko⁴¹, S. Bifani⁴⁶, P. Billoir⁸, T. Bird⁵⁵, A. Birnkraut¹⁰, A. Bitadze⁵⁵, A. Bizzeti^{18,u}, T. Blake⁴⁹, F. Blanc⁴⁰, J. Blouw¹¹, S. Blusk⁶⁰, V. Bocci²⁶, T. Boettcher⁵⁷, A. Bondar³⁵, N. Bondar^{31,39}, W. Bonivento¹⁶, A. Borgheresi^{21,i}, S. Borghi⁵⁵, M. Borisyak⁶⁷, M. Borsato³⁸, F. Bossu⁷, M. Boubdir⁹, T.J.V. Bowcock⁵³, E. Bowen⁴¹, C. Bozzi^{17,39}, S. Braun¹², M. Britsch¹², T. Britton⁶⁰, J. Brodzicka⁵⁵, E. Buchanan⁴⁷, C. Burr⁵⁵, A. Bursche², J. Buytaert³⁹, S. Cadeddu¹⁶, R. Calabrese^{17,g}, M. Calvi^{21,i}, M. Calvo Gomez^{37,m}, P. Campana¹⁹, D. Campora Perez³⁹, L. Capriotti⁵⁵, A. Carbone^{15,e}, G. Carboni^{25,j}, R. Cardinale^{20,h}, A. Cardini¹⁶, P. Carniti^{21,i}, L. Carson⁵¹, K. Carvalho Akiba², G. Casse⁵³, L. Cassina^{21,i}, L. Castillo Garcia⁴⁰, M. Cattaneo³⁹, Ch. Cauet¹⁰, G. Cavallero²⁰, R. Cenci^{24,t}, M. Charles⁸, Ph. Charpentier³⁹, G. Chatzikonstantinidis⁴⁶, M. Chefdeville⁴, S. Chen⁵⁵, S.-F. Cheung⁵⁶, V. Chobanova³⁸, M. Chrzaszcz^{41,27}, X. Cid Vidal³⁸, G. Ciezarek⁴², P.E.L. Clarke⁵¹, M. Clemencic³⁹, H.V. Cliff⁴⁸, J. Closier³⁹, V. Coco⁵⁸, J. Cogan⁶, E. Cogneras⁵, V. Cogoni^{16,f}, L. Cojocariu³⁰, G. Collazuol^{23,o}, P. Collins³⁹, A. Comerma-Montells¹², A. Contu³⁹, A. Cook⁴⁷, S. Coquereau⁸, G. Corti³⁹, M. Corvo^{17,g}, C.M. Costa Sobral⁴⁹, B. Couturier³⁹, G.A. Cowan⁵¹, D.C. Craik⁵¹, A. Crocombe⁴⁹, M. Cruz Torres⁶¹, S. Cunliffe⁵⁴, R. Currie⁵⁴, C. D'Ambrosio³⁹, E. Dall'Occo⁴², J. Dalseno⁴⁷, P.N.Y. David⁴², A. Davis⁵⁸, O. De Aguiar Francisco², K. De Bruyn⁶, S. De Capua⁵⁵, M. De Cian¹², J.M. De Miranda¹, L. De Paula², M. De Serio^{14,d}, P. De Simone¹⁹, C.-T. Dean⁵², D. Decamp⁴, M. Deckenhoff¹⁰, L. Del Buono⁸, M. Demmer¹⁰, D. Derkach⁶⁷, O. Deschamps⁵, F. Dettori³⁹, B. Dey²², A. Di Canto³⁹, H. Dijkstra³⁹, F. Dordei³⁹, M. Dorigo⁴⁰, A. Dosil Suárez³⁸, A. Dovbnya⁴⁴, K. Dreimanis⁵³, L. Dufour⁴², G. Dujany⁵⁵, K. Dungs³⁹, P. Durante³⁹, R. Dzhelyadin³⁶, A. Dziurda³⁹, A. Dzyuba³¹, N. Déleage⁴, S. Easo⁵⁰, U. Egede⁵⁴, V. Egorychev³², S. Eidelman³⁵, S. Eisenhardt⁵¹, U. Eitschberger¹⁰, R. Ekelhof¹⁰, L. Eklund⁵², Ch. Elsasser⁴¹, S. Ely⁶⁰, S. Esen¹², H.M. Evans⁴⁸, T. Evans⁵⁶, A. Falabella¹⁵, N. Farley⁴⁶, S. Farry⁵³, R. Fay⁵³, D. Fazzini^{21,i}, D. Ferguson⁵¹, V. Fernandez Albor³⁸, F. Ferrari^{15,39}, F. Ferreira Rodrigues¹, M. Ferro-Luzzi³⁹, S. Filippov³⁴, R.A. Fini¹⁴, M. Fiore^{17,g}, M. Fiorini^{17,g}, M. Firlej²⁸, C. Fitzpatrick⁴⁰, T. Fiutowski²⁸, F. Fleuret^{7,b}, K. Fohl³⁹, M. Fontana¹⁶, F. Fontanelli^{20,h}, D.C. Forshaw⁶⁰, R. Forty³⁹, M. Frank³⁹, C. Frei³⁹, J. Fu^{22,q}, E. Furfaro^{25,j}, C. Färber³⁹, A. Gallas Torreira³⁸, D. Galli^{15,e}, S. Gallorini²³, S. Gambetta⁵¹, M. Gandelman², P. Gandini⁵⁶, Y. Gao³, J. García Pardiñas³⁸, J. Garra Tico⁴⁸, L. Garrido³⁷, P.J. Garsed⁴⁸, D. Gascon³⁷, C. Gaspar³⁹, L. Gavardi¹⁰, G. Gazzoni⁵, D. Gerick¹², E. Gersabeck¹², M. Gersabeck⁵⁵, T. Gershon⁴⁹, Ph. Ghez⁴, S. Giani⁴⁰, V. Gibson⁴⁸, O.G. Girard⁴⁰, L. Giubega³⁰, K. Gizdov⁵¹, V.V. Gligorov⁸, D. Golubkov³², A. Golutvin^{54,39}, A. Gomes^{1,a}, I.V. Gorelov³³, C. Gotti^{21,i}, M. Grabalosa Gándara⁵, R. Graciani Diaz³⁷,

L.A. Granado Cardoso³⁹, E. Graugés³⁷, E. Graverini⁴¹, G. Graziani¹⁸, A. Grecu³⁰, P. Griffith⁴⁶,
 L. Grillo²¹, B.R. Gruberg Cazon⁵⁶, O. Grünberg⁶⁵, E. Gushchin³⁴, Yu. Guz³⁶, T. Gys³⁹,
 C. Göbel⁶¹, T. Hadavizadeh⁵⁶, C. Hadjivasiliou⁵, G. Haefeli⁴⁰, C. Haen³⁹, S.C. Haines⁴⁸,
 S. Hall⁵⁴, B. Hamilton⁵⁹, X. Han¹², S. Hansmann-Menzemer¹², N. Harnew⁵⁶, S.T. Harnew⁴⁷,
 J. Harrison⁵⁵, M. Hatch³⁹, J. He⁶², T. Head⁴⁰, A. Heister⁹, K. Hennessy⁵³, P. Henrard⁵,
 L. Henry⁸, J.A. Hernando Morata³⁸, E. van Herwijnen³⁹, M. Heß⁶⁵, A. Hicheur², D. Hill⁵⁶,
 C. Hombach⁵⁵, W. Hulsbergen⁴², T. Humair⁵⁴, M. Hushchyn⁶⁷, N. Hussain⁵⁶, D. Hutchcroft⁵³,
 M. Idzik²⁸, P. Ilten⁵⁷, R. Jacobsson³⁹, A. Jaeger¹², J. Jalocha⁵⁶, E. Jans⁴², A. Jawahery⁵⁹,
 M. John⁵⁶, D. Johnson³⁹, C.R. Jones⁴⁸, C. Joram³⁹, B. Jost³⁹, N. Jurik⁶⁰, S. Kandybei⁴⁴,
 W. Kanso⁶, M. Karacson³⁹, J.M. Kariuki⁴⁷, S. Karodia⁵², M. Kecke¹², M. Kelsey⁶⁰,
 I.R. Kenyon⁴⁶, M. Kenzie³⁹, T. Ketel⁴³, E. Khairullin⁶⁷, B. Khanji^{21,39,i}, C. Khurewathanakul⁴⁰,
 T. Kirn⁹, S. Klaver⁵⁵, K. Klimaszewski²⁹, S. Koliiev⁴⁵, M. Kolpin¹², I. Komarov⁴⁰,
 R.F. Koopman⁴³, P. Koppenburg⁴², A. Kozachuk³³, M. Kozeiha⁵, L. Kravchuk³⁴, K. Kreplin¹²,
 M. Kreps⁴⁹, P. Krokovny³⁵, F. Kruse¹⁰, W. Krzemien²⁹, W. Kucewicz^{27,l}, M. Kucharczyk²⁷,
 V. Kudryavtsev³⁵, A.K. Kuonen⁴⁰, K. Kurek²⁹, T. Kvaratskheliya^{32,39}, D. Lacarrere³⁹,
 G. Lafferty^{55,39}, A. Lai¹⁶, D. Lambert⁵¹, G. Lanfranchi¹⁹, C. Langenbruch⁹, B. Langhans³⁹,
 T. Latham⁴⁹, C. Lazzeroni⁴⁶, R. Le Gac⁶, J. van Leerdam⁴², J.-P. Lees⁴, A. Leflat^{33,39},
 J. Lefrançois⁷, R. Lefèvre⁵, F. Lemaitre³⁹, E. Lemos Cid³⁸, O. Leroy⁶, T. Lesiak²⁷,
 B. Leverington¹², Y. Li⁷, T. Likhomanenko^{67,66}, R. Lindner³⁹, C. Linn³⁹, F. Lionetto⁴¹,
 B. Liu¹⁶, X. Liu³, D. Loh⁴⁹, I. Longstaff⁵², J.H. Lopes², D. Lucchesi^{23,o}, M. Lucio Martinez³⁸,
 H. Luo⁵¹, A. Lupato²³, E. Luppi^{17,g}, O. Lupton⁵⁶, A. Lusiani²⁴, X. Lyu⁶², F. Machefert⁷,
 F. Maciuc³⁰, O. Maev³¹, K. Maguire⁵⁵, S. Malde⁵⁶, A. Malinin⁶⁶, T. Maltsev³⁵, G. Manca⁷,
 G. Mancinelli⁶, P. Manning⁶⁰, J. Maratas^{5,v}, J.F. Marchand⁴, U. Marconi¹⁵, C. Marin Benito³⁷,
 P. Marino^{24,t}, J. Marks¹², G. Martellotti²⁶, M. Martin⁶, M. Martinelli⁴⁰, D. Martinez Santos³⁸,
 F. Martinez Vidal⁶⁸, D. Martins Tostes², L.M. Massacrier⁷, A. Massafferri¹, R. Matev³⁹,
 A. Mathad⁴⁹, Z. Mathe³⁹, C. Matteuzzi²¹, A. Mauri⁴¹, B. Maurin⁴⁰, A. Mazurov⁴⁶,
 M. McCann⁵⁴, J. McCarthy⁴⁶, A. McNab⁵⁵, R. McNulty¹³, B. Meadows⁵⁸, F. Meier¹⁰,
 M. Meissner¹², D. Melnychuk²⁹, M. Merk⁴², A. Merli^{22,q}, E. Michielin²³, D.A. Milanes⁶⁴,
 M.-N. Minard⁴, D.S. Mitzel¹², J. Molina Rodriguez⁶¹, I.A. Monroy⁶⁴, S. Monteil⁵,
 M. Morandin²³, P. Morawski²⁸, A. Mordà⁶, M.J. Morello^{24,t}, J. Moron²⁸, A.B. Morris⁵¹,
 R. Mountain⁶⁰, F. Muheim⁵¹, M. Mulder⁴², M. Mussini¹⁵, D. Müller⁵⁵, J. Müller¹⁰, K. Müller⁴¹,
 V. Müller¹⁰, P. Naik⁴⁷, T. Nakada⁴⁰, R. Nandakumar⁵⁰, A. Nandi⁵⁶, I. Nasteva², M. Needham⁵¹,
 N. Neri²², S. Neubert¹², N. Neufeld³⁹, M. Neuner¹², A.D. Nguyen⁴⁰, C. Nguyen-Mau^{40,n},
 S. Nieswand⁹, R. Niet¹⁰, N. Nikitin³³, T. Nikodem¹², A. Novoselov³⁶, D.P. O’Hanlon⁴⁹,
 A. Oblakowska-Mucha²⁸, V. Obraztsov³⁶, S. Ogilvy¹⁹, R. Oldeman⁴⁸, C.J.G. Onderwater⁶⁹,
 J.M. Otalora Goicochea², A. Otto³⁹, P. Owen⁴¹, A. Oyanguren⁶⁸, P.R. Pais⁴⁰, A. Palano^{14,d},
 F. Palombo^{22,q}, M. Palutan¹⁹, J. Panman³⁹, A. Papanestis⁵⁰, M. Pappagallo^{14,d},
 L.L. Pappalardo^{17,g}, C. Pappenheimer⁵⁸, W. Parker⁵⁹, C. Parkes⁵⁵, G. Passaleva¹⁸,
 A. Pastore^{14,d}, G.D. Patel⁵³, M. Patel⁵⁴, C. Patrignani^{15,e}, A. Pearce^{55,50}, A. Pellegrino⁴²,
 G. Penso^{26,k}, M. Pepe Altarelli³⁹, S. Perazzini³⁹, P. Perret⁵, L. Pescatore⁴⁶, K. Petridis⁴⁷,
 A. Petrolini^{20,h}, A. Petrov⁶⁶, M. Petruzzzo^{22,q}, E. Picatoste Olloqui³⁷, B. Pietrzyk⁴, M. Piekies²⁷,
 D. Pinci²⁶, A. Pistone²⁰, A. Piucci¹², S. Playfer⁵¹, M. Plo Casasus³⁸, T. Poikela³⁹, F. Polci⁸,
 A. Poluektov^{49,35}, I. Polyakov³², E. Polcarpo², G.J. Pomery⁴⁷, A. Popov³⁶, D. Popov^{11,39},
 B. Popovici³⁰, C. Potterat², E. Price⁴⁷, J.D. Price⁵³, J. Prisciandaro³⁸, A. Pritchard⁵³,
 C. Prouve⁴⁷, V. Pugatch⁴⁵, A. Puig Navarro⁴⁰, G. Punzi^{24,p}, W. Qian⁵⁶, R. Quagliani^{7,47},
 B. Rachwal²⁷, J.H. Rademacker⁴⁷, M. Rama²⁴, M. Ramos Pernas³⁸, M.S. Rangel², I. Raniuk⁴⁴,

G. Raven⁴³, F. Redi⁵⁴, S. Reichert¹⁰, A.C. dos Reis¹, C. Remon Alepuz⁶⁸, V. Renaudin⁷, S. Ricciardi⁵⁰, S. Richards⁴⁷, M. Rihl³⁹, K. Rinnert^{53,39}, V. Rives Molina³⁷, P. Robbe^{7,39}, A.B. Rodrigues¹, E. Rodrigues⁵⁸, J.A. Rodriguez Lopez⁶⁴, P. Rodriguez Perez⁵⁵, A. Rogozhnikov⁶⁷, S. Roiser³⁹, V. Romanovskiy³⁶, A. Romero Vidal³⁸, J.W. Ronayne¹³, M. Rotondo²³, T. Ruf³⁹, P. Ruiz Valls⁶⁸, J.J. Saborido Silva³⁸, E. Sadykhov³², N. Sagidova³¹, B. Saitta^{16,f}, V. Salustino Guimaraes², C. Sanchez Mayordomo⁶⁸, B. Sanmartin Sedes³⁸, R. Santacesaria²⁶, C. Santamarina Rios³⁸, M. Santimaria¹⁹, E. Santovetti^{25,j}, A. Sarti^{19,k}, C. Satriano^{26,s}, A. Satta²⁵, D.M. Saunders⁴⁷, D. Savrina^{32,33}, S. Schael⁹, M. Schellenberg¹⁰, M. Schiller³⁹, H. Schindler³⁹, M. Schlupp¹⁰, M. Schmelling¹¹, T. Schmelzer¹⁰, B. Schmidt³⁹, O. Schneider⁴⁰, A. Schopper³⁹, K. Schubert¹⁰, M. Schubiger⁴⁰, M.-H. Schune⁷, R. Schwemmer³⁹, B. Sciascia¹⁹, A. Sciubba^{26,k}, A. Semennikov³², A. Sergi⁴⁶, N. Serra⁴¹, J. Serrano⁶, L. Sestini²³, P. Seyfert²¹, M. Shapkin³⁶, I. Shapoval^{17,44,g}, Y. Shcheglov³¹, T. Shears⁵³, L. Shekhtman³⁵, V. Shevchenko⁶⁶, A. Shires¹⁰, B.G. Siddi¹⁷, R. Silva Coutinho⁴¹, L. Silva de Oliveira², G. Simi^{23,o}, S. Simone^{14,d}, M. Sirendi⁴⁸, N. Skidmore⁴⁷, T. Skwarnicki⁶⁰, E. Smith⁵⁴, I.T. Smith⁵¹, J. Smith⁴⁸, M. Smith⁵⁵, H. Snoek⁴², M.D. Sokoloff⁵⁸, F.J.P. Soler⁵², D. Souza⁴⁷, B. Souza De Paula², B. Spaan¹⁰, P. Spradlin⁵², S. Sridharan³⁹, F. Stagni³⁹, M. Stahl¹², S. Stahl³⁹, P. Stefko⁴⁰, S. Stefkova⁵⁴, O. Steinkamp⁴¹, O. Stenyakin³⁶, S. Stevenson⁵⁶, S. Stoica³⁰, S. Stone⁶⁰, B. Storaci⁴¹, S. Stracka^{24,t}, M. Straticiu³⁰, U. Straumann⁴¹, L. Sun⁵⁸, W. Sutcliffe⁵⁴, K. Swientek²⁸, V. Syropoulos⁴³, M. Szczekowski²⁹, T. Szumlak²⁸, S. T'Jampens⁴, A. Tayduganov⁶, T. Tekampe¹⁰, G. Tellarini^{17,g}, F. Teubert³⁹, C. Thomas⁵⁶, E. Thomas³⁹, J. van Tilburg⁴², V. Tisserand⁴, M. Tobin⁴⁰, S. Tolk⁴⁸, L. Tomassetti^{17,g}, D. Tonelli³⁹, S. Topp-Joergensen⁵⁶, F. Toriello⁶⁰, E. Tournefier⁴, S. Tourneur⁴⁰, K. Trabelsi⁴⁰, M. Traill⁵², M.T. Tran⁴⁰, M. Tresch⁴¹, A. Trisovic³⁹, A. Tsaregorodtsev⁶, P. Tsopelas⁴², A. Tully⁴⁸, N. Tuning⁴², A. Ukleja²⁹, A. Ustyuzhanin^{67,66}, U. Uwer¹², C. Vacca^{16,39,f}, V. Vagnoni^{15,39}, S. Valat³⁹, G. Valenti¹⁵, A. Vallier⁷, R. Vazquez Gomez¹⁹, P. Vazquez Regueiro³⁸, S. Vecchi¹⁷, M. van Veghel⁴², J.J. Velthuis⁴⁷, M. Veltri^{18,r}, G. Veneziano⁴⁰, A. Venkateswaran⁶⁰, M. Vernet⁵, M. Vesterinen¹², B. Viaud⁷, D. Vieira¹, M. Vieites Diaz³⁸, X. Vilasis-Cardona^{37,m}, V. Volkov³³, A. Vollhardt⁴¹, B. Voneki³⁹, D. Voong⁴⁷, A. Vorobyev³¹, V. Vorobyev³⁵, C. Voß⁶⁵, J.A. de Vries⁴², C. Vázquez Sierra³⁸, R. Waldi⁶⁵, C. Wallace⁴⁹, R. Wallace¹³, J. Walsh²⁴, J. Wang⁶⁰, D.R. Ward⁴⁸, H.M. Wark⁵³, N.K. Watson⁴⁶, D. Websdale⁵⁴, A. Weiden⁴¹, M. Whitehead³⁹, J. Wicht⁴⁹, G. Wilkinson^{56,39}, M. Wilkinson⁶⁰, M. Williams³⁹, M.P. Williams⁴⁶, M. Williams⁵⁷, T. Williams⁴⁶, F.F. Wilson⁵⁰, J. Wimberley⁵⁹, J. Wishahi¹⁰, W. Wislicki²⁹, M. Witek²⁷, G. Wormser⁷, S.A. Wotton⁴⁸, K. Wraight⁵², S. Wright⁴⁸, K. Wyllie³⁹, Y. Xie⁶³, Z. Xing⁶⁰, Z. Xu⁴⁰, Z. Yang³, H. Yin⁶³, J. Yu⁶³, X. Yuan³⁵, O. Yushchenko³⁶, M. Zangoli¹⁵, K.A. Zarebski⁴⁶, M. Zavertyaev^{11,c}, L. Zhang³, Y. Zhang⁷, Y. Zhang⁶², A. Zhelezov¹², Y. Zheng⁶², A. Zhokhov³², V. Zhukov⁹, S. Zucchelli¹⁵.

¹Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas Físicas (CBPF), Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

²Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro (UFRJ), Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

³Center for High Energy Physics, Tsinghua University, Beijing, China

⁴LAPP, Université Savoie Mont-Blanc, CNRS/IN2P3, Annecy-Le-Vieux, France

⁵Clermont Université, Université Blaise Pascal, CNRS/IN2P3, LPC, Clermont-Ferrand, France

⁶CPPM, Aix-Marseille Université, CNRS/IN2P3, Marseille, France

⁷LAL, Université Paris-Sud, CNRS/IN2P3, Orsay, France

⁸LPNHE, Université Pierre et Marie Curie, Université Paris Diderot, CNRS/IN2P3, Paris, France

⁹I. Physikalisches Institut, RWTH Aachen University, Aachen, Germany

¹⁰Fakultät Physik, Technische Universität Dortmund, Dortmund, Germany

- ¹¹ *Max-Planck-Institut für Kernphysik (MPIK), Heidelberg, Germany*
- ¹² *Physikalisches Institut, Ruprecht-Karls-Universität Heidelberg, Heidelberg, Germany*
- ¹³ *School of Physics, University College Dublin, Dublin, Ireland*
- ¹⁴ *Sezione INFN di Bari, Bari, Italy*
- ¹⁵ *Sezione INFN di Bologna, Bologna, Italy*
- ¹⁶ *Sezione INFN di Cagliari, Cagliari, Italy*
- ¹⁷ *Sezione INFN di Ferrara, Ferrara, Italy*
- ¹⁸ *Sezione INFN di Firenze, Firenze, Italy*
- ¹⁹ *Laboratori Nazionali dell'INFN di Frascati, Frascati, Italy*
- ²⁰ *Sezione INFN di Genova, Genova, Italy*
- ²¹ *Sezione INFN di Milano Bicocca, Milano, Italy*
- ²² *Sezione INFN di Milano, Milano, Italy*
- ²³ *Sezione INFN di Padova, Padova, Italy*
- ²⁴ *Sezione INFN di Pisa, Pisa, Italy*
- ²⁵ *Sezione INFN di Roma Tor Vergata, Roma, Italy*
- ²⁶ *Sezione INFN di Roma La Sapienza, Roma, Italy*
- ²⁷ *Henryk Niewodniczanski Institute of Nuclear Physics Polish Academy of Sciences, Kraków, Poland*
- ²⁸ *AGH - University of Science and Technology, Faculty of Physics and Applied Computer Science, Kraków, Poland*
- ²⁹ *National Center for Nuclear Research (NCBJ), Warsaw, Poland*
- ³⁰ *Horia Hulubei National Institute of Physics and Nuclear Engineering, Bucharest-Magurele, Romania*
- ³¹ *Petersburg Nuclear Physics Institute (PNPI), Gatchina, Russia*
- ³² *Institute of Theoretical and Experimental Physics (ITEP), Moscow, Russia*
- ³³ *Institute of Nuclear Physics, Moscow State University (SINP MSU), Moscow, Russia*
- ³⁴ *Institute for Nuclear Research of the Russian Academy of Sciences (INR RAN), Moscow, Russia*
- ³⁵ *Budker Institute of Nuclear Physics (SB RAS) and Novosibirsk State University, Novosibirsk, Russia*
- ³⁶ *Institute for High Energy Physics (IHEP), Protvino, Russia*
- ³⁷ *ICCUB, Universitat de Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain*
- ³⁸ *Universidad de Santiago de Compostela, Santiago de Compostela, Spain*
- ³⁹ *European Organization for Nuclear Research (CERN), Geneva, Switzerland*
- ⁴⁰ *Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL), Lausanne, Switzerland*
- ⁴¹ *Physik-Institut, Universität Zürich, Zürich, Switzerland*
- ⁴² *Nikhef National Institute for Subatomic Physics, Amsterdam, The Netherlands*
- ⁴³ *Nikhef National Institute for Subatomic Physics and VU University Amsterdam, Amsterdam, The Netherlands*
- ⁴⁴ *NSC Kharkiv Institute of Physics and Technology (NSC KIPT), Kharkiv, Ukraine*
- ⁴⁵ *Institute for Nuclear Research of the National Academy of Sciences (KINR), Kyiv, Ukraine*
- ⁴⁶ *University of Birmingham, Birmingham, United Kingdom*
- ⁴⁷ *H.H. Wills Physics Laboratory, University of Bristol, Bristol, United Kingdom*
- ⁴⁸ *Cavendish Laboratory, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, United Kingdom*
- ⁴⁹ *Department of Physics, University of Warwick, Coventry, United Kingdom*
- ⁵⁰ *STFC Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Didcot, United Kingdom*
- ⁵¹ *School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, United Kingdom*
- ⁵² *School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, United Kingdom*
- ⁵³ *Oliver Lodge Laboratory, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, United Kingdom*
- ⁵⁴ *Imperial College London, London, United Kingdom*
- ⁵⁵ *School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Manchester, Manchester, United Kingdom*
- ⁵⁶ *Department of Physics, University of Oxford, Oxford, United Kingdom*
- ⁵⁷ *Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA, United States*
- ⁵⁸ *University of Cincinnati, Cincinnati, OH, United States*
- ⁵⁹ *University of Maryland, College Park, MD, United States*
- ⁶⁰ *Syracuse University, Syracuse, NY, United States*

- ⁶¹ Pontificia Universidade Católica do Rio de Janeiro (PUC-Rio), Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, associated to ²
⁶² University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China, associated to ³
⁶³ Institute of Particle Physics, Central China Normal University, Wuhan, Hubei, China, associated to ³
⁶⁴ Departamento de Física, Universidad Nacional de Colombia, Bogota, Colombia, associated to ⁸
⁶⁵ Institut für Physik, Universität Rostock, Rostock, Germany, associated to ¹²
⁶⁶ National Research Centre Kurchatov Institute, Moscow, Russia, associated to ³²
⁶⁷ Yandex School of Data Analysis, Moscow, Russia, associated to ³²
⁶⁸ Instituto de Física Corpuscular (IFIC), Universitat de Valencia-CSIC, Valencia, Spain, associated to ³⁷
⁶⁹ Van Swinderen Institute, University of Groningen, Groningen, The Netherlands, associated to ⁴²

^a Universidade Federal do Triângulo Mineiro (UFMT), Uberaba-MG, Brazil

^b Laboratoire Leprince-Ringuet, Palaiseau, France

^c P.N. Lebedev Physical Institute, Russian Academy of Science (LPI RAS), Moscow, Russia

^d Università di Bari, Bari, Italy

^e Università di Bologna, Bologna, Italy

^f Università di Cagliari, Cagliari, Italy

^g Università di Ferrara, Ferrara, Italy

^h Università di Genova, Genova, Italy

ⁱ Università di Milano Bicocca, Milano, Italy

^j Università di Roma Tor Vergata, Roma, Italy

^k Università di Roma La Sapienza, Roma, Italy

^l AGH - University of Science and Technology, Faculty of Computer Science, Electronics and Telecommunications, Kraków, Poland

^m LIFAELS, La Salle, Universitat Ramon Llull, Barcelona, Spain

ⁿ Hanoi University of Science, Hanoi, Viet Nam

^o Università di Padova, Padova, Italy

^p Università di Pisa, Pisa, Italy

^q Università degli Studi di Milano, Milano, Italy

^r Università di Urbino, Urbino, Italy

^s Università della Basilicata, Potenza, Italy

^t Scuola Normale Superiore, Pisa, Italy

^u Università di Modena e Reggio Emilia, Modena, Italy

^v Iligan Institute of Technology (IIT), Iligan, Philippines