



HAL
open science

What could be a radioactive ion beam facility at GANIL

A. Chabert

► **To cite this version:**

A. Chabert. What could be a radioactive ion beam facility at GANIL. EPAC 92 - Third European Particle Accelerator Conference, Mar 1992, Berlin, Germany. pp.412-414, 1992. in2p3-00666091

HAL Id: in2p3-00666091

<https://in2p3.hal.science/in2p3-00666091v1>

Submitted on 3 Feb 2012

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

WHAT COULD BE A RADIOACTIVE ION BEAM FACILITY AT GANIL.

A. Chabert and the Development Group.
GANIL-BP 5027 - F14021 Caen Cedex.

Abstract

GANIL provides high intensity ion beams from C (100 MeV/u- $\geq 10^{13}$ pps) to U (25 MeV/u- $\geq 10^{10}$ pps) and secondary beams produced by fragmentation in the 50-100 MeV/u energy range are routinely used by the physicists in well equipped experimental areas. A further step in the direction of R.I.B. has been investigated : radioactive atoms produced through the interactions of the GANIL beams in thick targets will be ionized using a dedicated E.C.R. ion source, accelerated up to some tens of MeV/u in a post-accelerator and used in the existing experimental areas.

1. INTRODUCTION

Our R.I.B. project is based upon the existing heavy ion accelerator associated with well equipped experimental areas in a facility widely opened to the international nuclear physics community.

The energetic light ions (≤ 100 MeV/u) can be compared with high energy protons (>1 GeV) in producing radioactive atoms through their interactions in a thick target ; this is illustrated on figure 1 giving the cross sections for the production of the Rb isotopes using either a 77 MeV/u C beam or a p beam in an U target^[1].

Moreover, using a primary ion beam, it will be possible to optimize the choice "projectile - target" in order to enhance the production of a given isotope and, the target being thinner in the case of ions, extraction should be eased.

From these considerations it results that, for example, a 5.10^{13} p.p.s., 95 MeV/u Ne beam as delivered by GANIL should compare with a 2 GeV-10 μ A p beam.




Fig. 1 : Isotopic distributions of Rb in $^{12}\text{C} + ^{238}\text{U}$ and $p + ^{238}\text{U}$ systems [1].

Therefore, GANIL provides a very competitive primary beam and due to the existing experimental areas we are only concerned with the post acceleration of these radioactive atoms and first to their ionization.

2. AN ECR RADIOACTIVE ION SOURCE

Preliminary studies using the GANIL ECR ion source CAPRICE indicate that it should be possible to ionize $\approx 100\%$ of an incoming low flux of atoms (≤ 10 μA) and to obtain between 10 and 25% of the ions in their most probable charge state. Moreover, the usable charge over mass ratios obtained in CAPRICE are always ≥ 0.1 (U^{+24}). Results for Ar are displayed on figure 2.




Fig. 2 : Charge state distribution and ionization efficiency for Ar as measured in CAPRICE (10 GHz).

The ECR ion source working in the highly radioactive environment of the target, it is more appropriate to build it using only permanent magnets ; such an ECR ion source, NANOGAN, has been developed at GANIL and a bench was built in order to test and to optimize the production of radioactive ions using the GANIL beams associated with a dedicated target-ECR ion source system : the very first results are more than encouraging [2].

According to these considerations we base our project on such an ion source to be optimized for this particular application in order to obtain, as in CAPRICE, $(Q/A)_{\text{ECR}} \geq 0.1$ with a high efficiency for all the ions.

3. THE POST-ACCELERATOR

We will choose a linear accelerator using superconducting independently phased cells grouped in a succession of cryostats. Such a well known solution seems to fulfil our main requirements : good transmission, easy tuning, fast energy variation, reliability. Moreover, due to the modularity of such an accelerator, it is possible to follow to a step by step construction, the beam being used at each step.

Our goal is to accelerate the whole range of masses above the coulomb barrier and we consider two steps :

- first step : U up to ≈ 10 MeV/u (light ions up to ≈ 20 MeV/u),
- second step : U up to ≈ 20 MeV/u (light ions up to ≈ 50 MeV/u).

3.1. The stripping energy

As we are looking for energies above 20 MeV/u the accelerator will be divided in a pre and a post-stripper. The stripping energy is determined by finding the minimum of the sum of the voltage gains of the pre and post-strippers, keeping into account the efficiency of the stripping process. It appears that the stripping energy has to be chosen around 3 MeV/u giving $\Sigma V \approx 110$ MV (full project) and a stripping efficiency going from $\approx 100\%$ for light ions down to $\approx 15\%$ for the heaviest ones.

3.2. The superconducting cells

A number of structures have been carefully studied and used for a long time. We will try, as much as possible, to use or to adapt existing ones.

- The very low energy part : the I.QWR (interdigital quarter wave resonator) of Argonne [3] will be used up to $0.9 \Rightarrow 1.2$ MeV/u depending of the ion mass. The injection energy could be as low as 25 keV/u, so that, the ion source has to be placed on a 250 kV platform ($Q/A \geq 0.1$).

The basic frequency will then be 24.25 or 12.125 MHz (buncher frequency) and this low energy part providing 8.75 MV will consist of 3 cryostats of the Argonne type housing 16 I.QWR (of the 4 types used at Argonne) and the associated superconducting solenoids.

- The second part of the prestripper : in order to reach the stripping energy $3 \Rightarrow 7$ MeV/u, we choose a 2 gap QWR like those developed at JAERI [4]. We will adapt these resonators to $\beta_{opt} = 0.06$ and to a multiple of 24.25 MHz . Using a 72.75 MHz QWR and expecting 6 MV/m on a 16 cm active length, we need 28 cells in 7 cryostats (similar to the JAERI ones) to obtain the required 21 MV. Transverse focusing is obtained by means of room temperature quadrupoles (Legnaro or JAERI scheme).




Fig. 3 : The different sections of the Linac

- The post-stripper : it is divided into two parts (corresponding to the two steps of the project) with β_{opt} respectively equal to 0.1 and 0.2. For the first step we will adapt a QWR at 121.25 MHz ; in this case, still for 6 MV/m on an active length of 16 cm, we will need 36 cells to get the 27.5 MV required to obtain 9 MeV/u for the heaviest ions. The remaining 50 MV necessary to obtain 20 MeV/u for these same ions (step 2) will be given either by similar QWR (169.75 MHz, 23 cm, 6 MV/m for instance) or by more efficient resonators if any.

On figure 3 we give the different sections of the accelerator together with the energies obtained for light and heavy ions. A sketch of the layout of such a post-accelerator is shown on figure 4.




Fig.4 : A sketch of a possible post accelerator layout

4. THE BEAM ANALYSIS

The secondary beam intensities will be very low so that we will have to optimize the beam transmission and to develop special beam diagnostics. The other main problem concerns the beam purification ; a given isotope among many other ions of similar Q/A having to be transferred to the experimental areas.

A first selection ($\Delta m/m \approx 10^{-2}$) will be done just behind the ion source followed by a second one ($\Delta m/m \approx 10^{-3}$) after the HT platform. Then, as soon as the energy is high enough, i.e either after the stripper or at full energy, we intend to use the difference in the ion energy loss in a thin foil followed by a conventional spectrometer (dispersion 2 - 5 mm/% σ ; $\Theta \approx 15\text{mrad}$, $x \approx \pm 0.5\text{mm}$) in order to select the ions.




Fig. 5 : A = 88 isobar separation at 15 MeV/u and beam divergence out of the target.




Fig. 6 : Beam energy E_i allowing the separation of isobars and energy E_f out of the target.

Such a solution should allow :

- to separate the ions having identical Q/A but different A : in this case very thin foils (Al or C) are quite efficient,
- to separate the isobars having the same Q/A : if we suppose a beam characterised by $\Delta W/W \approx \pm 10^{-3}$ and $\epsilon_r \approx 4\pi \text{ mm.mrad}$ and taking into account the straggling in the foil (energy and angle) we obtain the results shown on figures 5 and 6 (the beam widths are taken as $\pm 2\sigma$).

5. CONCLUSION

A proposal for producing secondary radioactive ion beams up to the Fermi energy is outlined ; it is based upon :

- the GANIL heavy ion beams used as the primary beam,
- a full permanent magnet ECR ion source providing $Q/A \geq 0.1$ for all ions with a good efficiency,
- a superconducting linear accelerator directly derived from wellknown realizations or projects, in particular those of Argonne , Legnaro and JAERI,
- the existing experimental areas and data acquisition systems of GANIL to perform the experiments.

6. REFERENCES

- [1] - De Saint Simon M. et al. - "Independant cross sections of Na, K, Rb, Cs and Fr isotopes produced in Ta and U targets bombarded by ^{12}C ions up to 77 MeV/nucleon" - Phys. Rev. C-Vol. 26 - nb 6. p. 2447-2457 - 1982.
- [2] - P. Sortais et al. "Latest developemnts on multicharged ECR ion sources" - These proceedings.
- [3] - Shepard K.W. "Status on RF superconductivity at Argonne" -Proc. 4th.workshop on RF superconductivity. Kek - Tsukuba. Japan - 1989.
- [4] - Takeuchi S. et al. "Progress in RF superconductivity for heavy ion acceleration at JAERI". Proc. 4th.workshop on RF superconductivity. Kek. Tsukuba. Japan- 1989.