

Pair and single neutron transfer with Borromean 8**He**

A. Lemasson, A. Navin, M. Rejmund, N. Keeley, V. Zelevinsky, S. Bhattacharyya, A. Shrivastava, Dominique Bazin, D. Beaumel, Y. Blumenfeld, et al.

To cite this version:

A. Lemasson, A. Navin, M. Rejmund, N. Keeley, V. Zelevinsky, et al.. Pair and single neutron transfer with Borromean 8He. Physics Letters B, 2011, 697, pp.454-458. $10.1016/j.$ physletb.2011.02.038. in2p3-00565792

HAL Id: in2p3-00565792 <https://in2p3.hal.science/in2p3-00565792v1>

Submitted on 14 Feb 2011

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Pair and single neutron transfer with Borromean ⁸He

A. Lemasson^{a,1}, A. Navin^{a,*}, M. Rejmund^a, N. Keeley^b, V. Zelevinsky^c, S. Bhattacharyya^{a,d}, A. Shrivastava^{a,e}, D. Bazin^c, D. Beaumel^f, Y. Blumenfeld^f, A. Chatterjee^e, D. Gupta^{f,2}, G. de France^a, B. Jacquot^a, M. Labiche^g, R. Lemmon^g, V. Nanal^h, J. Nybergⁱ, R. G. Pillay^h, R. Raabe^{a,3}, K. Ramachandran^e, J.A. Scarpaci^f, C. Schmitt^a, C. Simenel^j, I. Stefan^{a,f,4}, C.N. Timis^k

^aGANIL, CEA/DSM - CNRS/IN2P3, Bd Henri Becquerel, BP 55027, F-14076 Caen Cedex 5, France

 b Department of Nuclear Reactions, The Andrzej Soltan Institute for Nuclear Studies, ul. Hoża 69, PL-00-681 Warsaw, Poland

^cNSCL and Department of Physics and Astronomy, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI 48824, USA

 \overline{d}^T Variable Energy Cyclotron Centre, $1/AF$ Bidhan Nagar, Kolkata 700064, India

^eNuclear Physics Division, Bhabha Atomic Research Centre, Mumbai 400085, India

^gCRLC, Daresbury Laboratory, Daresbury, Warrington, WA4 4AD, U.K.

^hDepartment of Nuclear and Atomic Physics, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Mumbai 400005, India

 i Department of Physics and Astronomy, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden

^jIRFU/Service de Physique Nucléaire, CEA Centre de Saclay, F-91191 Gif-sur-Yvette, France

^kDepartment of Physics, University of Surrey, Guildford, GU2 7XH, U.K.

Abstract

Direct observation of the survival of ¹⁹⁹Au residues after 2n transfer in the 8 He+¹⁹⁷Au system and the absence of the corresponding ${}^{67}Cu$ in the ${}^{8}He+{}^{65}Cu$ system at various energies are reported. The measurements of the surprisingly large cross sections for ¹⁹⁹Au, coupled with the integral cross sections for the various Au residues, is used to obtain the first model-independent lower limits on the ratio of $2n$ to 1n transfer cross sections from ⁸He to a heavy target. A comparison of the transfer cross sections for 6.8 He on these targets highlights the differences in the interactions of these Borromean nuclei. These measurements for the most neutron-rich nuclei on different targets highlight the need to probe the reaction mechanism with various targets and represent an experimental advance towards understanding specific features of pairing in the dynamics of dilute nuclear systems.

Keywords: Borromean nucleus, Transfer reaction, Excitation function

The recent developments in a variety of fields like nuclei far from stability, cold atoms in traps, nanoscale condensed matter devices or quantum computing systems show how quantum-statistical and dynamic correlations between the constituents build the structure of the system. Neutronrich radioactive nuclei, with their extended and therefore dilute matter distributions and extreme sensitivity to the precise particle number, provide unique opportunities to study the complexity and structurization of an aggregate of quantum particles [1, 2]. Light neutron-rich nuclei also carry indispensable information on properties of neutron matter. Borromean nuclei (bound three-body systems with unbound two-body subsystems), such as 6.8 He and ¹¹Li, provide an example of binding arising essentially from

¹Present address: National Superconducting Cyclotron Laboratory, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI 48824, USA

K.U. Leuven, Celestijnenlaan 200D, B-3001 Leuven, Belgium.

pairing correlations and therefore can be characterized as a pure embodiment of the Cooper effect [3] with one or two pairs in restricted geometry. Such structures in small composite objects are known to influence quantum tunneling [4].

Pairing correlations in small fermionic systems [5], responsible for extra binding, odd-even staggering, and modification of single-particle and collective properties, have common features with macroscopic superconductors but at the same time reveal some differences due to their mesoscopic nature. In nuclei the formally calculated coherence length of a Cooper pair is larger than the size of the nucleus, the energy gap appears on the background of a distinct shell structure, while all phase transitions are smeared. As the role of pairing correlations in nuclear structure is well known, it is of great interest to get experimental information on their dynamical aspects, especially involving Borromean nuclei. Nucleon transfer reactions, particularly on heavy targets, are an important tool for such studies [6]. The energy dependence of tunneling, related to the two-particle strength functions, probes the interaction responsible for pair formation in nuclei. The signatures of related phenomena like the nuclear (ac and

 f Institut de Physique Nucléaire,IN2P3-CNRS, 91406 Orsay, France

[∗]Corresponding author

Email address: navin@ganil.fr (A. Navin)

 2 Permanent address: Dept. of Physics and Centre for Astroparticle Physics and Space Science, Bose Institute, Kolkata 700091, India. ³Permanent address: Instituut voor Kern- en Stralingsfysica,

⁴Permanent address: Institut de Physique Nucleaire, IN2P3- CNRS, 91406 Orsay, France.

Figure 1: (color online) (a) $\Delta E - E$ matrix for ⁸He + ⁶⁵Cu at $E_{\rm lab}$ = 19.9 MeV, $\theta_{\rm lab}$ = 35.6°. (b) Q-value spectrum for ⁶He detected in coincidence with the 186 keV γ transition in ⁶⁶Cu. The ground state Q-value (Q_{gg}) for 2n-stripping is shown. The Q-value spectrum was constructed assuming a binary reaction. The inset shows a triple coincidence spectrum of γ rays requiring the detection of ⁶He particles and neutrons (see text). Transitions in ⁶⁶Cu are labeled (filled triangles).

dc) Josephson effect [7] have been discussed in recent reviews [6, 8]. Significant experimental advances [4, 9, 10, 11] in reactions using low-intensity re-accelerated radioactive ion beams (RIBs) of nuclei near the drip line, in particular for Borromean nuclei around the Coulomb barrier, have renewed hopes for observing the transfer of a single Cooper pair, "enhanced" pair transfer, and "giant pairing vibrations". Measurements of the ratio of $2n$ - to 1n-transfer cross sections $(\sigma_{2n}/\sigma_{1n})$ with Borromean nuclei are expected to be sensitive to correlations among the valence neutrons $[12, 13]$. The 8 He nucleus with four loosely bound valence neutrons provides a unique system for investigating the role of neutron correlations, including pairing, in structure and dynamics of dilute nuclear systems. Additionally the work done by the Dubna group [14, 15] allow an interesting comparison to be made with the Borromean ⁶He to further highlight the role of the excess neutrons in the doubly Borromean system ⁸He.

As opposed to transfer reactions with light ions (like (p, t) or (p, d) reactions) [16], studies involving heavy ions are severely restricted by the energy resolution, so that distributions, rather than populations of discrete states, are measured. The large positive Q-values for the transfer of neutrons from light neutron-rich projectiles to heavy targets accentuate the role of neutron evaporation following transfer [17] and thus the need for exclusive measurements [10, 18]. Quasi-free scattering and transfer reactions on proton targets with 6.8 He beams have been used to study the ground state properties of ⁸He [19, 20]. Both the large positive Q-values and the Borromean nature of ⁸He exclude the direct measurement of individual $(1n \text{ and } 1n)$ 2n) cross sections from the observed final residues after one- and two-neutron transfer to heavy targets, since the residues are the same in both cases. Here we show that, while in the case of ⁸He a direct measurement of σ_{2n}/σ_{1n} is not yet possible, a first and substantial step in this direction is made using an approach involving integral rather

Figure 2: (color online) (a) A portion of the off-beam γ -ray spectrum at $E_{\text{lab}} = 22.9 \text{ MeV}$ for the ${}^{8}\text{He}+{}^{197}\text{Au}$ system showing the 158.4 k eV γ ray emitted in the decay of ¹⁹⁹Au. The corresponding background spectrum is shown by the dotted line and known transitions are denoted by asterisks. ²⁰¹Tl is produced from a fusion-evaporation process. The inset shows the measured activity for ¹⁹⁹Au fitted using the known half-life. (b) γ -ray spectrum obtained in coincidence with the 97 keV transition from the decay of the 12[−] isomeric state (811.7 keV) in ¹⁹⁸Au.

than differential cross sections.

Measurements were performed with ⁸He beams, produced at the SPIRAL facility at GANIL, with typical intensities of $(2 - 4) \times 10^5$ pps on ⁶⁵Cu and ¹⁹⁷Au targets, employing two independent setups using in-beam and offbeam techniques, respectively [21]. Neutron transfer reactions on ⁶⁵Cu were investigated at 19.9 and 30.6 MeV using in-beam measurements of inclusive and exclusive angular distributions of light charged particles, γ rays and neutrons. The experimental setup consisted of 11 Compton suppressed clover HpGe detectors of the EXOGAM array and an annular Si telescope covering an angular range of 25°-60°. Fig. 1(a) shows a $\Delta E - E$ identification plot. The observed α particles arise mainly from other processes, e.g. decay of the compound nucleus. Neutrons were detected in a neutron wall consisting of 45 hexagonal detectors placed at 55 cm from the target. Further details are given in Refs. [10, 22]. Coincidences between ⁶He and γ rays from the transfer residue ⁶⁶Cu were used to obtain the Q-distribution shown in Fig. 1(b). The peaking of the distribution around $Q = 0$ is consistent with the semiclassical matching condition [23]. The inclusive Q distribution was found to be almost identical in shape, thus illustrating the absence of the population of bound excited states in ⁶⁷Cu between Q_{gg} and S_n . The total neutron transfer cross sections, $\sigma_{1n} + \sigma_{2n}$, obtained from the measurement of characteristic in-beam γ rays of the transfer residues at 19.9 (30.6) MeV are 782±78 (759±114) mb. Statistical model calculations, which fit well other evaporation residue yields [22], were used to correct for contributions arising from fusion-evaporation (The measured cross sections were corrected for contributions of 13% and 21% for the residues arising from evaporation residues at 19.9 and 30.6 MeV respectively). As expected from the large ground state Q-value of 14 MeV, population of ${}^{67}Cu$ was not observed (upper limits of 0.5 and 1.9 mb were estimated at the two energies). To highlight the sensitivity of

Figure 3: (color online) Cross sections for various transfer residues as a function of center-of-mass energy for the 8 He + 197 Au system.

the experimental setup, triple coincidences between γ rays, charged particles and neutrons (integrated over 45 detectors) measured with this low intensity beam, are shown in the inset of Fig. 1(b). Despite having the most intense ⁸He beam available today, its intensity is still lower by a factor of 200 than in the case of ⁶He [10]. This prevented building the necessary kinematic correlation of energies and emission angles between ⁶He particles and neutrons in coincidence with γ rays from the excited heavy residue. In addition, the decay of the unbound excited states of 6 He to 4 He represents an additional source of ambiguity towards the determination of individual cross sections for 1n and 2n transfer. Below we discuss an alternative approach.

Beams of ⁸He at energies of 18.7, 20.1 and 29.4 MeV were used to bombard stacks of two/three Au targets (∼6 mg/cm²) separated by Al foils. After irradiation, the target and the corresponding Al foils were moved to a dedicated low-background setup and counted over a period of three weeks [4]. The 198,198m,199 Au residues, formed by transfer of neutron(s) from the projectile, were identified by their characteristic γ -rays and half-lives [Fig. 2(a)]. Unlike the measurements with ${}^{65}Cu$, where ${}^{67}Cu$ produced after $2n$ -transfer was not observed, the corresponding residue ¹⁹⁹Au was identified despite the large ground state Q-value of 11.96 MeV $(>S_n)$. Cross sections were extracted from inclusive γ -ray measurements and those for the $198m$ Au residues were obtained using $\gamma - \gamma$ coincidences [Fig. 2(b)]. The effects of angular correlation were minimized by the close geometry of the detectors used in the experimental setup [4] and were neglected. The corrections to the transfer residues arising from the αxn channels in fusion-evaporation were verified to be negligible. (Statistical model calculations that consistently reproduced the earlier measurement of the evaporation residues arising from the decay of the compound nucleus 205 Tl [4] show that the contribution for $\alpha 2n$ (¹⁹⁹Au) to be less than 0.2 mb throughout the energy range of interest and those for $\alpha 3n$ (¹⁹⁸Au) to vary from 0.1 mb ($E_{CM} = 20$ MeV) to 20 mb at the highest energy measured here.) The measured integral cross sections for Au residues produced by 1n- and $2n$ -transfer in the 8 He+ 197 Au system and shown

Figure 4: (color online) (a) Fractional contribution (f_n) of $2n$ transfer to the observed residues as a function of σ_{2n}/σ_{1n} ; f_1 , f_2 and f_3 correspond to ¹⁹⁸Au, ¹⁹⁹Au, and ^{198*m*}Au, respectively. The curves denote solutions of Eqs. $(1)-(4)$ using the measured cross sections at $E_{\rm lab} = 22.9$ MeV. The widths arise from the uncertainties in the measurement. The arrow indicates the allowed values for σ_{2n}/σ_{1n} (see text). (b) Lower limits on σ_{2n}/σ_{1n} (see text) and coupled-channels calculations for ⁸He+197Au.

in Fig. 3 reveal the unexpectedly large cross section for $199\,\mathrm{Au}$. The measured $198\,\mathrm{Au}$ cross sections are greater by an order of magnitude than those for ¹⁹⁹Au and by two orders of magnitude than those for $198m$ Au for the whole energy range.

Making use of the fact that ¹⁹⁹Au is observed, coupled with the measured integral cross sections for the various gold residues at a given energy, we present here for the first time a model-independent estimate of the ratio σ_{2n}/σ_{1n} . Due to the large positive Q -value for $2n$ -transfer and the semi-classical matching condition [23], states with $Q \simeq 0$, i.e. at excitation energy (E^*) in ¹⁹⁹Au around 12 MeV, much higher than the neutron threshold $(S_n=7.9 \text{ MeV})$, are expected to be populated. Hence the measured cross sections for 198 Au and 199 Au do not correspond directly to the $1n$ - and $2n$ -transfer cross sections, respectively. The observed population of the 12^- isomer $(^{198m}\mathrm{Au})$ provides direct evidence of transfer followed by evaporation since its unlikely population by $1n$ -transfer would require a minimum $\ell = 11\hbar$ transfer involving a $1n_{23/2}$ neutron orbital.

The observed $198,198m,199$ Au cross sections represent the sum of the transfer cross section $(\sigma_{1n} + \sigma_{2n})$. 3n- and 4n-transfer contributions (if any) are neglected. The relationships between the measured residue cross sections can be expressed as:

 $\sigma_{198Au} + \sigma_{199Au} + \sigma_{198mAu} = \sigma_{1n} + \sigma_{2n}$ (1)

- $\sigma_{198\,Au} = \sigma_{1n} + f_1 \sigma_{2n}$ (2)
- $\sigma_{199Au} = f_2 \sigma_{2n}$ (3)

$$
\sigma_{198m\,Au} = f_3 \sigma_{2n}, \qquad (4)
$$

where f_1 , f_2 and f_3 are the fractional contributions from 2n-transfer to the observed residues of ¹⁹⁸Au, ¹⁹⁹Au, and ^{198*m*}Au, respectively ($0 \le f_i \le 1$). These equations can be solved graphically. Fig. $4(a)$ represents such a solution as a function of σ_{2n}/σ_{1n} at $E_{\text{lab}} = 22.9 \text{ MeV}$. As seen from the figure, the allowed values of f_1 constrain $\sigma_{2n}/\sigma_{1n} > 0.125$. Furthermore, f_3 represents only a single state in ^{198}Au populated in the statistical process of neutron evaporation, leading to the conclusion that $f_3 \ll f_1$. Such a limit on the allowed values of σ_{2n}/σ_{1n} is model-independent. The Q -distribution was assumed to peak around $Q = 0$ similar to that measured in the case of ${}^{65}Cu$ (Fig. 1(b)). Given the high excitation energy in 199 Au, neutron evaporation is expected to be large and thus only a small fraction of states populated by 2n-transfer would remain bound. This implies that $f_1 > f_2$ and $\sigma_{2n}/\sigma_{1n} \geq 0.3$ (Fig. 4(a)). Lower limits on the ratio at other energies are shown in Fig. 4(b) for the 8 He+ 197 Au system. The above limits are the first constraints for theoretical interpretation of the role of the valence neutrons in transfer reactions with heavy targets involving ⁸He. Coupled-channels calculations (the details are discussed elsewhere [4]) for 8 He + 197 Au are shown in Fig. 4(b). Due to the approximations for the spectroscopy of target-like residues in these calculations compared to those for a proton target [20, 24], the results should be taken only as a guide to qualitative understanding. More detailed calculations of the type discussed in Ref. [24] for heavy targets are necessary for a deeper theoretical analysis.

The differences in the survival of ⁶⁷Cu and ¹⁹⁹Au do not arise due to difference in center-of-mass energies with respect to the barrier. The peaking of the energy distribution around $Q = 0$ for neutron transfer is nearly independent of the beam energy and thus so is the excitation energy. The observed differences are thus governed by the properties of target-like nuclei and can be understood in a simple manner by evaluating the fraction of bound and unbound states populated in a 2n-transfer (note the analogy to the Josephson tunneling that depends on the available density of electron states). The states around $Q = 0$ are populated, defining the range of bound and unbound orbitals available for the two neutrons. The calculations with relevant single-particle space for ⁶⁷Cu and ¹⁹⁹Au were performed using parameterized Woods-Saxon potentials [25, 26]. Combinations of the unoccupied (bound and unbound orbitals) were used to construct independent twoneutron configurations for a given spin. Assuming independent neutrons, we obtain the fractions 0.88 and 0.06 of the $2n$ bound states in ¹⁹⁹Au and ⁶⁷Cu, respectively. The interaction between the neutrons, like pairing, causes mixing of two-neutron states increasing the number of states with an unbound component relative to the independent particle model. Using a Surface Delta Interaction (SDI) [27], with a strength parameter of $25/A$ MeV, these fractions were found to be 0.36 and 0.06 (an upper limit, since the SDI does not mix unnatural parity states). The difference in survival of the states formed in ¹⁹⁹Au and ⁶⁷Cu

Figure 5: (color online) Measured total neutron transfer cross sections for $6,8$ He+ 65 Cu [10, 22, 28] and $6,8$ He+ 197 Au [4, 14] showing the similarities between ⁶He and ⁸He.

is found to arise due to the larger number of combinations at a similar excitation energy involving unbound singleparticle orbitals in Cu as compared to those in Au. The calculations for ¹⁹⁹Au, that corresponds to f_2 , Eq. (3), indicate the fraction of surviving nuclei to be less than 0.36. This, in conjunction with the curve for f_2 in Fig. 4(a), points to larger values of σ_{2n}/σ_{1n} , in agreement with the coupled-channel calculations shown in Fig. 4(b).

To exemplify the role of the neutron pairs in the Borromean nuclei ^{6,8}He, the sum $\sigma_{1n}+\sigma_{2n}$ is shown in Fig. 5 as a function of energy normalized to the Coulomb barrier; these excitation functions are necessary for constraining reaction models. The total transfer cross sections have a similar trend for both targets. At energies around the barrier the cross sections are comparable while above the barrier they are larger for ⁸He. The observed difference between ⁶He and ⁸He on both targets can be attributed to the structure of the projectile. Earlier studies on proton targets showed that ⁸He cannot be considered just as an inert α core plus 4 neutrons; excited states of ⁶He are populated with significant probability [20] confirming the role of core excitations in the formation of Cooper pairs [6]; it is known [8] that pairing can be supported by coupling to the phonon-like excitations of the core, in this specific case to the 2^+ state in ⁶He. The larger cross section seen for ⁸He compared to ⁶He at higher energy may reflect a considerable difference in geometry of Cooper pairs in these isotopes. At small interaction time, the instantaneous orientation of interacting nuclei may suppress the neutron transfer from ⁶He, where the Cooper partners are mainly on one side of the core [29], in contrast to a more symmetric geometry of the neutron cloud in ⁸He [30]. Dynamics of such processes with loosely bound neutrons should be a subject of deeper theoretical studies.

A comparison of the cross sections for the transfer residues obtained for 6 He+ 197 Au [14, 15] and the present work using ⁸He shows some interesting features. For the 6 He $+{}^{197}$ Au system a large cross section for the survival of the ¹⁹⁹Au residue was not observed (the authors men-

tion that they determine an upper limit but do not explicitly quote an upper limit). From the data presented in Refs. [14, 15], it can be safely concluded that if this cross section was larger than around 1 mb the authors would have observed it. This low cross section is to be compared with the relatively large cross section $(~ 100 \text{ mb})$ for the survival of ¹⁹⁹Au observed in the present work. As mentioned earlier this represents only a fraction of $2n$ transfer events. Ref. [14] also reported the measurement of the ¹⁹⁶Au residue. The authors of Ref. [14] attributed the presence of ¹⁹⁶Au to primarily -1n transfer but also mention other possibilities like evaporation after 1n or 2n transfer. ¹⁹⁶Au was not observed in the present work despite the very high sensitivity of the present work using an X- γ coincidence technique [4]. These observed differences in the interactions of these two Borromean nuclei with ¹⁹⁷Au point towards how the two extra neutrons in ⁸He have affected the reaction mechanism despite their relative similar Q-values for the various transfer channels. The possible role of $3n$ - and $4n$ -channels (if any) needs to be clarified in future experiments. The usual procedure of extracting the relative dominance of the 2n channel over 1n by plotting the transfer probability (obtained from the differential cross section) as a function of the distance of closest approach for Coulomb trajectories [31] is not feasible with currently available RIB intensities. New experimental directions will be required to further address the problem at next-generation RIB facilities, while future reaction theory should be able to describe neutron transfers using the dynamics of their correlated wave functions in the time-dependent two-center field, a complicated analog of the Josephson effect.

In summary, we report the measurements of the cross sections for neutron transfer involving the exotic isotope ⁸He for various energies and different targets. A new approach used the measured integral transfer residue cross sections to set the first model-independent lower limits on σ_{2n}/σ_{1n} . These results for ⁸He, a system of "two Cooper pairs", and heavy targets mark an important step in the quest to probe pairing correlations through transfer reactions compared to the use of proton targets suggested in Ref. [24]. The present work represents a major experimental step towards probing features of pairing correlations [6] using neutron rich radioactive ion beams in a dynamical regime different from that in macroscopic superconductors. Investigations to further discern the observed differences between the interaction of ⁶He and ⁸He on various targets would give a deeper insight into the understanding of interactions involving Borromean nuclei.

We thank A. Volya for providing the energies of the unbound states, P. Van Isacker, A. Vitturi and D. Lacroix for illuminating discussions. A.L. was partly supported by the Région Basse-Normandie (France). This work was supported in part by the Polish Ministry of Science and Higher Education (grant N N202 033637), NSF grant PHY-0758099 and the Swedish Research Council.

References

- [1] D. Geesaman, et al., Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. 56 (2006) 53–92.
- [2] Focus issue on open problems in nuclear structure theory, (2010). Edited by J. Dobaczewski, J. Phys. G 37.
- [3] L. N. Cooper, Phys. Rev. 104 (1956) 1189–1190.
- [4] A. Lemasson, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 103 (2009) 232701.
- [5] D. Brink, R. Broglia, Nuclear superfluidity: pairing in finite systems, Cambridge Univ Pr, 2005.
- [6] W. von Oertzen, A. Vitturi, Rep. Prog. Phys. 64 (2001) 1247– 1337.
- [7] B. D. Josephson, Phys. Lett. 1 (1962) 251 253.
- [8] G. Potel, et al., preprint arXiv:0906.4298 (2009).
[9] I. Tanihata, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 100 (2008) 1.
- I. Tanihata, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 100 (2008) 192502.
- [10] A. Chatterjee, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 101 (2008) 032701.
- [11] P. A. DeYoung, et al., Phys. Rev. C 71 (2005) 051601.
[12] K. Hagino et al., Phys. Rev. C 77 (2008) 054317
- K. Hagino, et al., Phys. Rev. C 77 (2008) 054317.
- [13] K. Hagino, et al., Phys. Rev. C 80 (2009) 031301.
- Y. Penionzhkevich, et al., Eur. Phys. J. 31 (2007) 185 194.
- [15] A. A. Kulko, et al., J. Phys. G 34 (2007) 2297–2306.
- [16] G. Satchler, Direct nuclear reactions, Clarendon, 1983.
- [17] C. H. Dasso, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 73 (1994) 1907–1910.
- [18] L. Corradi, et al., J. Phys. G 36 (2009) 113101.
- [19] L. Chulkov, et al., Nucl. Phys. A 759 (2005) $43 63$.
- [20] N. Keeley, et al., Phys. Lett. B 646 (2007) 222 226.
- [21] A. Lemasson, Fusion et réactions directes autour de la barrière Coulombienne avec le noyau riche en neutrons ${}^{8}He$, Ph.D. thesis, Université de Caen Basse-Normandie, 2010. http://tel.archives-ouvertes.fr/tel-00522577/.
- [22] A. Lemasson, et al., Phys. Rev. C 82 (2010) 044617.
- [23] R. Broglia, A. Winther, Heavy Ion Reactions, volume 84, Addison Wesley, Redwood City, CA, 1991.
- [24] G. Potel, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 105 (2010) 172502.
- [25] N. Schwierz, et al., preprint arXiv:0709.3525 (2007).
[26] A. Volva. Private communication (2009).
- [26] A. Volya, Private communication (2009).
- [27] P. Brussaard, P. Glaudemans, Shell-model Applications in Nuclear Spectroscopy, North-Holland, 1977.
- [28] A. Navin, et al., Phys. Rev. C 70 (2004) 044601.
- [29] T. Neff, et al., Nucl. Phys. A 752 (2005) 321 324.
- [30] P. Mueller, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 99 (2007) 252501.
- [31] F. Funke, et al., Z. Phys. A 357 (1997) 303–316.