



HAL
open science

Spectroscopy of the unbound nucleus ^{18}Na

M. Assié, Francois de Oliveira Santos, F. de Grancey, L. Achouri, J. Alcantara-Nunez, J.-C. Angélique, C. Borcea, L. Caceres, I. Celikovic, V. Chudoba, et al.

► **To cite this version:**

M. Assié, Francois de Oliveira Santos, F. de Grancey, L. Achouri, J. Alcantara-Nunez, et al.. Spectroscopy of the unbound nucleus ^{18}Na . 2nd Workshop on "State of the Art in Nuclear Cluster Physics" SOTANCP2, May 2010, Bruxelles, Belgium. pp.971-975, <10.1142/S0218301311019088>. <in2p3-00517862>

HAL Id: in2p3-00517862

<https://in2p3.hal.science/in2p3-00517862v1>

Submitted on 15 Sep 2010

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



HAL Authorization

International Journal of Modern Physics E
 © World Scientific Publishing Company

Spectroscopy of the unbound nucleus ^{18}Na

M. ASSIÉ^{1,2}, F. DE OLIVEIRA SANTOS¹, F. DE GRANCEY¹, L. ACHOURI¹, J. ALCANTARA-NUNEZ⁴, J.-C. ANGÉLIQUE¹, C. BORCEA⁵, L. CACERES¹, I. CELIKOVIC⁶, V. CHUDOBA⁷, P. DANYANG¹, T. DAVINSON⁸, C. DUCOIN¹, M. FALLOT⁹, A. LEFEBVRE¹⁰, J. MRAZEK¹¹, L. PERROT², A. M. SANCHEZ-BENITEZ¹², F. ROTARU⁵, M-G. SAINT-LAURENT¹, Y. SOBOLEV¹³, M. STANOIU⁵, R. STANOIU⁵, I. STEFAN², K. SUBOTIC⁷, P. WOODS⁸, P. UJIC¹, R. WOLSKI⁷.

¹GANIL, CEA/DSM-CNRS/IN2P3, Caen, France

²Institut de Physique Nucléaire, Université Paris-Sud-11-CNRS/IN2P3, 91140 Orsay, France

³LPC/ENSICAEN, Blvd du Maréchal Juin, 14050 Caen Cedex, France

⁴Departamento de Física de Partículas, Universidad de Santiago de Compostela, E15782, Spain.

⁵Horia Hulubei National Institute for Physics and Nuclear Engineering, PO Box MG-6, 76900 Bucharest, Romania

⁶VINCA Institute of Nuclear Sciences, P.O. Box 522, 11001 Belgrade, Serbia

⁷Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, RU-141980 Dubna, Russian Federation

⁸Department of Physics and Astronomy, The University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh EH9 3JZ, UK

⁹Subatech, 4 rue Alfred Kastler BP 20722, F-44307 Nantes Cedex 3, France

¹⁰CSNSM-Orsay, 91405 Orsay-Campus, France

¹¹Nuclear Physics Institute AS CR, 250 68 Rez, Czech Republic

¹²Departamento de Física Aplicada, Universidad de Huelva, E-21071 Huelva, Spain

¹³Institute for Physics, Johannes Gutenberg-University of Mainz, Staudingerweg 7, D-55128 Mainz, Germany

The unbound nucleus ^{18}Na , the intermediate nucleus in the two-proton radioactivity of ^{19}Mg , is studied through the resonant elastic scattering $^{17}\text{Ne}(p, ^{17}\text{Ne})p$. The spectroscopic information obtained in this experiment is discussed and put in perspective with previous measurements and the structure of the mirror nucleus ^{18}N .

1. Introduction

Near the proton drip-line, where nuclear binding energies are almost zero, the pairing force could play a more important role than in stable nuclei. Sometimes it leads to a situation where a drip-line nucleus is bound with respect to single-proton decay but unbound to two-proton radioactivity.¹ Then this phenomenon can proceed:

(i) either through simultaneous emission (^2He emission) where the two protons form a quasiparticle due to pairing force that facilitates the penetration of the Coulomb barrier;

(ii) or by sequential emission through an intermediate state (or eventually the tail of a resonant state) that can be described by genuine three-particle decay.

Very few intermediate nuclei $^A_{Z-1}\text{X}$ are accessible experimentally. Among them,

2 *M. Assié, F. de Oliveira, ...*

^{18}Na , the intermediate nucleus in the ^{19}Mg two-proton decay, was studied by stripping reaction^{2,3} but its ground state and first excited states were not clearly identified. In this letter, new results concerning ^{18}Na obtained from the resonant elastic scattering experiment $^{17}\text{Ne}(p, ^{17}\text{Ne})p$ are presented.

2. Experimental Set-Up and Analysis

The elastic scattering reaction $^{17}\text{Ne}(p, ^{17}\text{Ne})p$ was measured in inverse kinematics with a ^{17}Ne beam at 4 A.MeV produced by the Spiral facility at GANIL and an intensity of 10^4 pps. It was impinging on a $150\mu\text{m}$ thick polypropylen (CH_2) target where the beam was stopped. With this method, the full excitation function up to 4 MeV in the center-of-mass framework was obtained all at once (for details on the method see [4]). The outgoing protons were detected with two ΔE -E telescopes




Fig. 1. Left: Experimental set-up for the study of $^{17}\text{Ne}(p, ^{17}\text{Ne})p$ resonant elastic scattering (see text for description). Right : Excitation function in the center -of-mass framework corrected from ^{12}C background and residual βp background from ^{17}Ne decay.

(see Fig. 1) : (i) the first one located at zero degree is composed of a $150\mu\text{m}$ thick Silicon detector and a 6 mm Silicon-Lithium detector which was cooled down to -20°C . It was covering from -2 to 2 degrees with a total resolution of 35 keV for the telescope (ii) the second one, called CD-PAD detector⁵, is composed of a thin ($\sim 40\mu\text{m}$) double-sided stripped Silicon detector and a 1.5 mm thick Silicon detector covering from 5 to 25 degrees in the laboratory frame with an energy resolution of 50 keV. Rough calibration of Silicon telescopes was performed with 3α source. Then, more accurate calibration was obtained from ^{17}O runs and comparison with a previous measurement in direct kinematics of the $^{17}\text{O}(p,p)^{17}\text{O}$ reaction⁶. Moreover, the contamination from ^{17}Ne decay by βp (90%) was avoided by the use of a target rotating at 1000 rpm. It was supplemented by a MultiChannel Plate (MCP) detector for time of flight (TOF) measurement with an efficiency close to 100%.

3. Analysis and Results

The selection of the kinematic line from TOF measurement and of protons from ΔE -E identification matrix makes it possible to reconstruct the excitation function.

This latter is shown on Fig. 1 (right) where all rings' contributions in the CDPAD detector have been summed after correcting from the angle of emission dependence. The excitation function shows two contributions: the Rutherford scattering (mainly at low energy) and some interfering resonances reflecting the compound nucleus ^{18}Na structure. Indeed, the position of these resonances is linked with the excited states of the compound nucleus whereas their widths give access to spectroscopic factors.

3.1. Ground State

The first resonance in our excitation energy spectra (see Fig. 1) is found at a $S_p=1,54$ MeV corresponding to $\Delta M=25.30(2)$ MeV. This value is compatible with predictions based on mass measurement^{7,8,9} and slightly above the second peak value from ref [2] (see Table 1). From its mirror nucleus ^{18}N spectroscopic information¹⁰

Table 1. Mass excess (in MeV) of ^{18}Na from several references.

Theory				Measurement	
Audi [7]	Jänecke [8]	Pape[9]	Fortune[3]	Zerguerras [2]	This paper
25.3 (4)	25.4 (2)	25.7 (2)	24.88	24.19 (16) /25.04(17)	25.30 (2)

(see Fig. 2), the ground state (g.s.) spin should be 1^- . However the shape of a 1^- spin resonance obtained with the R-matrix theory code Anar χ ¹¹ is not compatible with our peak. Only fits with 2^- or maybe 3^- spins lead to good agreement. Thus




Fig. 2. Left: Level schemes for ^{18}Na as found from the Anar χ fit and for ^{18}N , its mirror nucleus. Right : Level scheme for two-protons radioactivity of ^{19}Mg .

there are two possibilities : (i) either our first resonance is not the ground state and there is a narrow resonance ($\Gamma < 5$ keV) at lower energy that was not seen in this experiment ; (ii) or there is a spin inversion between ^{18}Na and its mirror nucleus. In the first case, the position of the g. s. of ^{18}Na was inferred from a fit of the 1^- g. s. of ^{18}N with a Woods-Saxon nuclear potential model. It shows that this state

4 *M. Assié, F. de Oliveira, ...*

should be located at an energy higher than 1.1 MeV with a width lower than 3 keV. As it is difficult to see it experimentally even with our 15 keV resolution in center-of-mass framework, we have tried to fit the excitation function with a 1^- state at low energy with no success. On the other hand, the second scenario is difficult to understand as the Coulomb shift tends to lower down the 1^- state.

3.2. Excited States

The best fit obtained with Anar χ takes into account four resonances and leads to the level scheme of Fig. 2. In the scenario of ref. [2] where the peak at $\Delta M=25.04$ MeV corresponds to the g.s. mass excess, the peak at $\Delta M=24.19$ MeV corresponds to the decay of an excited state of ^{18}Na to the first excited state of ^{17}Ne at $E^*=1.288$ MeV with a width of 230 (100) keV. It would place this excited state of ^{18}Na at $E^*=0.44$ MeV. In order to look for a compatible state in our level scheme, the

Table 2. Wigner width for the decay of excited states of ^{18}Na to the first excited state of ^{17}Ne .

Excited state of ^{18}Na	320 keV	520 keV	1400 keV
Γ_{Wigner} to $^{17}\text{Ne}^*$ (1.288 MeV)	21.8 keV	105.6 keV	72 keV

maximum width for each excited state of ^{18}Na decaying to the first excited state of ^{17}Ne has been calculated (see Tab. 2). The 1^- state at 320 keV has a too small Wigner width but the 2^- state at 520 keV seems to be a good candidate.

4. Conclusion

From resonant elastic scattering method, we have found several states in ^{18}Na but spin assignment remains difficult. Moreover, whether the first resonance corresponds to the ground state or not is difficult to assess for the moment. Further theoretical calculations are needed to improve our understanding of the ^{18}Na level scheme.

References

1. B. Blank and M. Płoszajczak, *Rep. Prog. Phys.*, **71** (2008) 046301 and ref. therein.
2. T. Zerguerras *et al*, *Eur. Phys. J. A*, **20** (2004) 389.
3. H. T. Fortune and R. Sherr, *Phys. Rev. C*, **72** (2005) 034304.
4. F. de Oliveira Santos *et al*, *Eur. Phys. J. A* **24** (2005) 237.
5. A.N. Ostrowski *et al*, *Nucl. Instr. and Meth. A*, **480** (2002) 448.
6. J.C. Sens, S. M. Rafaei, A. Ben Mohamed and A. Pape, *Phys. Rev. C* **16** (1977) 2129.
7. G. Audi, A.H. Wapstra, *Nucl. Phys. A* 595 **1995** 409.
8. J. Jänecke, P. Masson, *At. Data Nucl. Data Tables* **39** (1988) 265.
9. A. Pape and M. Antony, *At. Data. Nucl. Data Tables* **39** (1988) 201.
10. D. R. Tilley, H. R. Weller, C. M. Cheves, and R. M. Chasteler, *Nucl. Phys. A* **595** (1995) 1.
11. E. Berthoumieux, B. Berthier, C. Moreau, J.P. Gallien and A.C. Raoux, *Nucl. Instr. and Meth. B*, **136-138** (1998) 55.